

1 **CITY OF MORENO VALLEY PLANNING COMMISSION**
2 **REGULAR MEETING**
3 **CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER – 14177 FREDERICK STREET**
4

5 **Thursday, January 28th, 2016, 7:00 PM**

6
7
8 **CALL TO ORDER**
9

10 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Good evening ladies and gentleman. I would like to call to
11 order the Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission Meeting. Today's date is
12 Thursday, January 28th, 2016. The time is currently 7:03 PM. May we have
13 rollcall please?
14

15
16 **ROLL CALL**
17

18 Commissioners Present:

19 Commissioner Ramirez
20 Commissioner Korzec
21 Commissioner Van Natta
22 Commissioner Baker
23 Commissioner Barnes
24 Vice Chair Sims
25 Chair Lowell
26 Alternate Commissioner Nickel
27 Alternate Commissioner Gonzalez
28

29
30 Staff Present:

31 Rick Sandzimier, Planning Official
32 Vince Giron, Associate Engineer
33 Paul Early, Assistant City Attorney
34

35
36 **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
37

38 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Thank you very much. Commissioner Ramirez has offered
39 to lead us in the pledge of allegiance.
40
41
42
43
44

1 **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

2
3 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Thank you very much. That moves us to the approval of
4 tonight’s Agenda. I don’t see a vote button on here. Approval of Minutes, let’s
5 try it again. Nope can’t vote. Would anyone like to motion to approve tonight’s
6 Agenda. Oh, there we go.

7
8 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – I move to approve the Agenda.

9
10 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Oh, backwards. Mr. Sims beat you to it, so Mr. Sims
11 motions and you seconded.

12
13 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – Okay, then I will....

14
15 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Actually it is seconded by Mr. Baker.

16
17 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – Okay, I don’t need to do anything.

18
19 **CHAIR LOWELL** – It’s all screwy.

20
21 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – I’m going to vote yes.

22
23 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – There you go.

24
25 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Okay so we’re good to go. The vote is 7-0. Tonight’s
26 Agenda has been approved. That’s awesome.

27
28
29 Opposed – 0

30
31
32 **Motion carries 7 – 0**

33
34
35 **CONSENT CALENDAR**

36
37 *All matters listed under Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and all*
38 *will be enacted by one rollcall vote. There will be no discussion of these items*
39 *unless Members of the Planning Commission request specific items be removed*
40 *from the Consent Calendar for separate action.*

41
42
43 **CHAIR LOWELL** – That moves us onto the Consent Calendar. I do not believe
44 we have any items on the Consent Calendar tonight, do we?
45

1 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – We do not have any items, but
2 normally we would have approval of Minutes. I just want to let the Commission
3 know that we are behind in our Minutes coming to you. We’ve had a little bit of a
4 glitch. We have reconciled that and so we are expeditiously trying to catch up on
5 all the previous Minutes, so you probably will see a series of them on upcoming
6 Agenda’s so my apologies. But I do want to keep you posted that we are fixing
7 that. Thanks.

8
9 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Perfect. Thank you. So that was our Consent Calendar.

10
11
12 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

13
14 None

15
16 **CHAIR LOWELL** – We don’t have any Minutes to approve.

17
18
19 **PUBLIC COMMENTS PROCEDURE**

20
21 *Any person wishing to address the Commission on any matter, either under*
22 *Public Comments section of the Agenda or scheduled items or public hearings,*
23 *must fill out a “Request to Speak” form available at the door. The completed*
24 *form must be submitted to the Secretary prior to the Agenda item being called by*
25 *the Chairperson. In speaking to the Commission, member of the public may be*
26 *limited to three minutes per person, except for the applicant for entitlement. The*
27 *Commission may establish an overall time limit for comments on a particular*
28 *Agenda item. Members of the public must direct their questions to the*
29 *Chairperson of the Commission and not to other members of the Commission,*
30 *the applicant, the Staff, or the audience.*

31
32 **CHAIR LOWELL** – This brings us to the Public Comments portion of tonight’s
33 meeting. I do not, do we have any....let me back up. I’d like to open the Public
34 Comments for items not on the Agenda. Do we have any Speaker Slips tonight?

35
36 **ERICA TADEO** – No we do not.

37
38 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Perfect. Then I will close the Public Comments portion.

39
40
41 **NON-PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS**

42
43 None

44
45 **CHAIR LOWELL** – This moves us on to the Non-Public Hearing Items, which
46 again I don’t believe we have any Non-Public Hearing Items.

1
2 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – We have none.
3

4
5 **PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS**
6

- 7 1. Case: P15-084
8
9 Applicant: Prologis USLV TRS CASUB, LLC
10
11 Owner: Prologis USLV TRS CASUB, LLC
12
13 Representative: MIG/Hogle-Ireland – Alex Stelle
14
15 Location: West of Graham Street between Alessandro
16 Boulevard and Brodiaea Avenue
17
18 Case Planner: Vince Giron
19
20 Council District: 5
21
22 Proposal: Vacation of Joy Street north of Brodiaea Avenue
23
24

25 **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**
26

27 Staff recommends that the Planning Commission **APPROVE** Resolution No.
28 2016-01, and **HEREBY RECOMMENDS** that the City Council:
29

- 30 1. **RECOGNIZE** that the vacation of Joy Street falls within the scope of
31 the Environmental Impact Report certified for Plot Plan PA12-0021 by
32 the City Council on December 11, 2012, therefore no new or
33 additional environmental review or determination is required; and
34
35 2. **APPROVE** Application P15-084 for the vacation of the portion of Joy
36 Street located north of Brodiaea Avenue, based on the findings
37 contained in this resolution.
38
39

40 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Perfect, then that moves us on to our first Public Hearing
41 Item for tonight, which is Case P15-084. The Applicant is Prologis and the Case
42 Planner is Mr. Vince Giron.
43

44 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – Good evening Chair Lowell and
45 Members of the Planning Commission. Tonight you have Case P15-084 before
46 you by Prologis. The Applicant has submitted a request for the vacation of Joy

1 Street north of Brodiaea Avenue in accordance with the conditions of approval for
2 Tentative Parcel Map 36465 and Plot Plan P12-0021. The Parcel Map will
3 combine three adjacent parcels into one parcel and allow for the construction of a
4 proposed warehouse building. The project Conditions of Approval requires the
5 vacation of Joy Street in order to accommodate the projects proposed building.
6 Specifically, the vacation must be completed prior to the issuance of building
7 permits in order to allow the construction of the building pursuant to the State
8 Highway Code and finding from the Planning Commission that the vacation of
9 Joy Street is in conformance with the current General Plan and Zoning
10 Ordinance as required prior to the formal view and action by the City Council on
11 the requested vacation. In terms of the surrounding area, to the west of the
12 project site it is vacant properties zoned Office. Southwest is Riverside County
13 Waste Management offices. To the north, there are vacant properties zoned
14 Community Commercial, and to the east and south are developed properties
15 zoned Light Industrial. In the review process, the Land Development Staff has
16 reviewed the request for the vacation based on recent existing Parcel Maps and
17 other information provided by the Applicant. Staff has determined that the
18 vacation of Joy Street, as shown in the attached exhibit, to the proposed
19 resolution as consistent with Section 66477.5 of the Government Code and in
20 accordance with Section 8300 of the Streets and Highways Code. Planning Staff
21 has reviewed the Applicant's request to vacate this portion of Joy Street and has
22 determined that it is consistent with the City's Zoning Ordinance and General
23 Plan. Joy Street is not a required General Plan Street and is not required to
24 provide access to the proposed development project or adjacent properties. Any
25 existing utilities will be protected in place with easements or relocated by the
26 Applicant as required by the Conditions of Approval. The previously-approved
27 Plot Plan PA12-0021 anticipated the vacation of Joy Street. Pardon me, this is
28 for the environmental portion of it. The anticipated vacation of Joy Street from
29 Brodiaea Avenue to approximately 600 feet north of Brodiaea Avenue and was
30 included as an element of the project per Condition of Approval LD45B for the
31 Plot Plan. The vacation is subsequent discretionary action that falls within the
32 scope of Environmental Impact Report previously certified by the City Council on
33 December 11th, 2012 for Plot Plan PA12-0021 and does not constitute a new or
34 separate project requiring a separate environmental determination. In terms of
35 public noticing, public notice was sent to all property owners of record within 300
36 feet of the project. A Public Hearing Notice for this project was also posted on
37 the project site and published in the local newspaper. Review agency
38 comments: There were some comments that were returned to us by EMWD.
39 And if I can just interject at this moment I believe a memo that you have before
40 you is in reference to another agency, which is Southern California Gas
41 Company that also notified us as recent as today that they would also like to
42 have an easement reserved for their facilities. And part of this memo also
43 updates the exhibits that are attached to the Resolution that you're being asked
44 to approve tonight. Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Planning
45 Commission approve Resolution No. 2016-01 and hereby recommends that the
46 City Council recognize that the vacation of Joy Street falls within the scope of the

1 Environmental Impact Report certified for Plot Plan PA12-0021 by the City
2 Council on December 11th, 2012. Therefore, no new or additional environmental
3 review or determination is required and approve application P15-084 for the
4 vacation of a portion of Joy Street located north of Brodiaea Avenue, based on
5 the findings contained in this resolution. That concludes my report.

6
7 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – And just for clarification, thanks
8 Vince on that report, the recommended action since the green handout that's on
9 your dais this evening contains an additional exhibit that's been modified. The
10 Ordinance, I mean the Resolution itself also has been modified so we're asking
11 your action to be what's on the green sheet not what was in the Staff Report.

12
13 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Thank you very much. I do have a couple questions real
14 quick for Staff. On the green sheet, it says the subject says Planning
15 Commission Agenda Item No. 2, but I think it should be Agenda Item No. 1. I
16 don't think that really matters but just for clarity. Similarly, on the Public Hearing
17 Items, it says Council District No. 5. I know we've been redistricted to four
18 Districts. Is that still accurate?

19
20 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – Forgive me. Yes, it should be Item
21 No. 1. In terms of the District, could you please repeat that?

22
23 **CHAIR LOWELL** – I know we're in the process of being redistricted to four
24 Districts and then one at large mayor, so is District 5 still applicable?

25
26 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – This is per the current District.
27 That's correct.

28
29 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Awesome. Do we have any other questions for Staff before
30 we move to the Applicant?

31
32 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – I do.

33
34 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Yes, Sir Commissioner Barnes.

35
36 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Should the utility reservation be included in the
37 legal description?

38
39 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – At this point, the legal description as
40 written is per the current legal description as it is owned by the property owner.
41 When the Resolution, when it goes on to Council and they approve the same
42 legal description and virtually the same plat, after that it goes through a process
43 of what we call perfecting the deed which is handled by the property owner
44 through the title company. When a new grant deed is written or drawn up, it will
45 include the legal description of the property book. It will be the legal description
46 of the property as you see it in the Staff Report and also include that portion of

1 Joy Street that was vacated via the Resolution by the City Council, so now it
2 should not be in there. We're recommending that it not be included at this time
3 since it is not the legal description currently as it stands but it will be at some
4 point in the legal description.

5
6 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Okay, thank you.

7
8 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Any other questions for Staff?

9
10 **COMMISSIONER MELI VAN NATTA** – I didn't understand that.

11
12 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – In a simpler way, the legal
13 description the way it's written now, it's describing that area that is being vacated.
14 And what Commissioner Barnes was referring to is should the easements also
15 be included in the legal description as it's written in Exhibit A, I believe. So, if you
16 take a look at Exhibit A, it describes Joy Street. It doesn't include any
17 reservations at this time. However, in the future when the vacation is approved
18 by City Council, the legal description will change via a process through the title
19 company.

20
21 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – That's the, I'm sorry so how does that affect
22 the easement reservation?

23
24 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – Well.....

25
26 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – Can I ask a question?

27
28 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – Sure.

29
30 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – Don't they, the vacation document that outlines the
31 boundary of the vacation would be filed with the title company and then it would
32 be kind of concurrent when they filed the new legal description for the non...it's
33 kind of a, they both kind of go concurrent. Isn't that kind of the way that it works?

34
35 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – I guess my question is that once the street is
36 vacated there is no easement because everything's been vacated, so....

37
38 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – With the exception....

39
40 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – At such time as the perfecting deeds come back
41 you'd be reinstating the easement because the vacation of the street eliminates
42 it. It seems like the proper way is to preserve the utility easement until such time
43 as they're abandoned and then they can quick claim that. The perfecting deed
44 would still go to the center line. The utility portion of the right-of-way would stay
45 in place, but that's just my opinion.

46

1 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – That is correct the way you
2 described it Commissioner Barnes. The reservations will be quick claimed once
3 all the utility companies have abandoned those facilities. So it's a requirement of
4 the developer to demolish abandon further requirements of the utilities. Once it's
5 abandoned per those requirements, the utility companies will then quick claim
6 those reservations within Joy Street back to the property owner. At that point in
7 time, the property owner will now have full access to that portion of what was
8 once Joy Street.

9
10 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – So you're saying that the action that we're
11 taking tonight to vacate the street does not eliminate the easement?
12

13 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – That's correct. We're asking to
14 reserve two easements, one for Eastern Municipal Water District and one for
15 Southern California Gas Company.
16

17 **CHAIR LOWELL** – You're biting your tongue Mr. Barnes.
18

19 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Yeah, I am. You're right.
20

21 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – I mean isn't it, for all intensive purposes, the public right-of-
22 way. I mean if the Parcel Map created the street, there would be a utility
23 reservation for the entire...
24

25 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Yes.
26

27 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – For the entire Joy Street that is being vacated, so it's kind
28 of semantics.
29

30 **CHAIR LOWELL** – But what he is saying is that by vacating the street without
31 having in the legal description an easement there will be no easement for a
32 period of time until the easement is granted again.
33

34 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Right and once it comes back...I guess that's my
35 question. Is the street vacated first and then the easement is reserved because
36 once you've vacated everything when the property owners reserve it, it's not
37 specifically to the public. It seems like you should preserve the public utility
38 easement until such time.
39

40 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – That's part of the legal description.
41 Yeah, that's correct. The street will be vacated for road and public purposes all
42 with the exception of the two easements that we're asking to be reserved. And in
43 the Resolution is one of the facts. We are mentioning that, if I can find it one
44 moment here, it's under the Resolution under Item B1 in the last sentence that all
45 existing utilities will be protected in place within easements or relocated by the

1 Applicant to the satisfaction of the affected utility. And what this amounts to in
2 this case is that only two utility companies have requested that.

3
4 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – I mean, I guess, isn't it....It's not really separate, Eastern
5 doesn't have a separate easement to....

6
7 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – It's just a public utility.

8
9 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – It's just a public utility corridor so their first in place, first in
10 time, their just there until it is abandoned.

11
12 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – That is correct. When this goes to
13 City Council, there will also be a statement in the Resolution that is reserving
14 easements for those two public utilities. In the future when everything has been
15 abandoned to their satisfaction, they will then quick claim those easements to the
16 property owner.

17
18 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – Okay then what I don't understand is, if that's
19 the way it already was in the Resolution that the existing utilities would be
20 protected in place, then what was the purpose for this other memorandum that
21 we were given telling us that Southern California Gas Company has requested
22 an easement reservation if it was already reserved?

23
24 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – I guess it's to be more specific for
25 which utility company. It is not for every public utility company that's one the
26 street.

27
28 **CHAIR LOWELL** – I think that it's specifying that it's Eastern Water Municipal
29 District and the gas company have easements and it's not Verizon, not....

30
31 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – It doesn't say....

32
33 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – The original version just has the
34 EMWD.

35
36 Correct.

37
38 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – The amended version says
39 EMWD and gas company.

40
41 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – That's correct.

42
43 **ASSOCIATE ENGINEER VINCE GIRON** – That's the only real revision.

44
45 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – Okay.

46

1 **CHAIR LOWELL** – And that’s what you get when you have two engineers and a
2 land surveyor up here.

3
4 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Sorry guys.

5
6 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – And just to clarify what action
7 is being sought from the Commission tonight, it’s simply that you certify that this
8 vacation is consistent with the General Plan. The actual vacation will take place
9 by the Council.

10
11 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Correct. With that said, do we have anymore questions for
12 Staff?

13
14 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Is this the Resolution that goes to the City
15 Council?

16
17 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – This is the not the Resolution
18 vacating the thing. This is simply a Resolution that this Commission certifies that
19 it’s consistent with the General Plan.

20
21 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – Okay.

22
23 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – This is your Resolution.

24
25 **CHAIR LOWELL** – I’m okay with that.

26
27 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – Jeff, Joy Street is going to go on vacation to Cancun.

28
29 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – And I’m going with it.

30
31
32 **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

33
34 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Alright. Okay with that said I’d like to invite the Applicant up
35 if they’d like to say something, which I don’t see anybody coming up here. Do we
36 have any? Okay, I will move on to the Public Comments portion. Do we have
37 any Speaker Slips tonight?

38
39 **ERICA TADEO** – No we do not.

40
41 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Man is this contentious. Okay, I’d like to close the Public
42 Comments portion.

1 **COMMISSIONER COMMENTS**

2
3 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Moving onto Commissioner Discussion. Do we have
4 anymore comments or questions?

5
6 **COMMISSIONER KORZEC** – No.

7
8 **COMMISSIONER BARNES** – No, I'm good.

9
10 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Okay, would anybody like to make a motion? Let's see if I
11 can get this going.

12
13 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – You only have to twist our arms.

14
15 **CHAIR LOWELL** – I don't see the voting button up here Erica. No voting button.
16 Let's just go the old fashioned way. Would anybody like to make a motion on this
17 item tonight? Nobody is speaking? Fine, I'll make the motion.

18
19 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – I was trying to hit the mover button before I
20 said anything because...

21
22 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Okay we have a motion by Commissioner Van Natta. Could
23 you read the motion?

24
25 **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** – Sure. I move that the Planning Commission
26 recommends that the City Council recognize that the vacation of Joy Street falls
27 within the scope of the Environmental Impact Report and approve the application
28 for PA15-084 for the vacation of the portion of Joy Street as presented by Staff.

29
30 **CHAIR LOWELL** – And do we still have a second by Commissioner Sims?

31
32 **VICE CHAIR SIMS** – Absolutely.

33
34 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Perfect. Would we like to vote? I need some
35 communication. Was that an okay motion?

36
37 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – That was an okay motion.

38
39 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Okay continue the vote. Okay all votes have been cast.
40 Last chance, three, two, one; the motion passes 7-0. Do we have a Staff wrap-
41 up on this item?

42
43
44 Opposed – 0

45
46 **Motion carries 7 – 0**

1
2 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – This item will be moving forward
3 to the City Council review as an advisory body. As we discussed, your
4 recommendation is contained in the Resolution, so there is no wrap-up.
5
6
7

8 **OTHER COMMISSIONER BUSINESS**
9

10 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Perfect. Then we go into Other Commissioner Business,
11 which I don't think we have any.
12
13

14 **STAFF COMMENTS**
15

16 **CHAIR LOWELL** – We have Staff Comments.
17

18 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – I do have a comment. We
19 originally planned on having a second item here tonight at this Commission
20 Meeting. It had to do with medical marijuana legislation that went into effect at
21 the beginning of the year and was giving local agencies up until March 1st to
22 consider or adopt more specific regulations with regard to medical marijuana.
23 Because we want to err on the side of caution, we removed it from this Agenda
24 and we'd like you to be adjourning your meeting tonight to another meeting on
25 February 11th, 2016, rather than going to February 25th, 2016. That would be a
26 place holder. We're actually putting the notice in the newspaper on Sunday for
27 that meeting, but I'm going to turn it over to Attorney Paul Early to kind of give
28 you an update of what's going on at the State, which may allow us not to have to
29 come back so.
30

31 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Okay.
32

33 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – Yes the main issue here is that
34 the new state laws, when they were enacted, had a provision in there that said
35 that if your City has a Land Use Regulation in Regulating the Cultivation of
36 Marijuana prior to March 1st, 2016, then the City can continue to regulate in that
37 area. But, if we do not have such an ordinance, the State takes over. So you
38 may have seen or heard of this, but jurisdictions everywhere have been rushing
39 to enact some sort of Land Use Regulation just to get ahead of that March 1st,
40 2016 deadline. And that is, in fact, what we were bringing to the Planning
41 Commission. It's actually legislation that we actually already have, but we want
42 to move it into our Zoning Code so that it meets the definition of a Land Use
43 Regulation. There's been an amendment that is being rushed through the
44 house. It passed the senate I understand today and was sent to the governor for
45 signature, which removes that March 1st, 2016 deadline. So we expect, and from
46 what we're hearing, is the governor is going to sign it. We expect in the next few

1 days that that March 1st, 2016 deadline will be removed and we won't have to go
2 through this rush process. There is still a desire from the City Council's Public
3 Safety Subcommittee Meeting to bring forth some discussion on regulating the
4 cultivation of marijuana in the city, but we'll be able to do a more thorough and
5 comprehensive review of that and bring that to you at a future time. So, at this
6 point, we want to keep the February 11th, 2016 on schedule just in case the
7 governor doesn't sign it, so that we can bring it to the City Council on February
8 14th in time to meet that March 1st deadline. But it's very likely that it will be taken
9 off the calendar.

10
11 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – The only Staff Comments we had
12 with a little clarification but I also just want to welcome you guys back here in the
13 New Year, so it's good to see you guys again.

14
15 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Thank you very much. Any other comments for the
16 Commissioners? No, with that, so would we be adjourning to the February 25th
17 meeting or would we be adjourning to a different date?

18
19 **ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY PAUL EARLY** – February 11th.

20
21 **PLANNING OFFICIAL RICK SANDZIMIER** – February 11th.

22
23
24 **ADJOURNMENT**

25
26 **CHAIR LOWELL** – Perfect. With that, I would like to adjourn tonight's meeting
27 to the next Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission, which is February 11th,
28 2016, at 7:00 PM right here in City Hall Council Chambers. Thank you
29 everybody and have a good night.

30
31
32 **NEXT MEETING**

33 *Next Meeting: Planning Commission Regular Meeting, February 11th, 2016 at*
34 *7:00 PM, City of Moreno Valley, City Hall Council Chamber, 14177 Frederick*
35 *Street, Moreno Valley, CA 92553.*

36
37
38
39
40
41
42 _____
43 Richard J. Sandzimier
44 Planning Official
45 Approved

46 _____
Date

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Brian R. Lowell
Chair

Date