1 2 3 4	CITY OF MORENO VALLEY PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBER – 14177 FREDERICK STREET	
5 6	Thursday April 23 rd , 2015, 7:00 PM	
7 8 9	CALL TO ORDER	
10 11 12 13 14	Introduction and Swearing-in of New and Re-appointed Commissioners Patricia Korzec (new) Jeffrey Sims (re-appointed) Meli Van Natta (re-appointed) Jeffrey Barnes (re-appointed)	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – Good evening. It's 7:01. Welcome to the April 23 rd , 2015, Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission, City of Moreno Valley. Tonight is a special night for the Commission as we'll be introducing and swearing-in our new and re-appointed Commissioners, so I'd like to ask the City Clerk, Jane Halstead to step forward and conduct this swearing-in ceremony.	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	down; Jeffrey Sims, re-appointed; Meli Van Natta, re-appointed; Jeffrey Barnes re-appointed and I'll conduct the swearing-in. Please raise your right hand and repeat after me and state your name where applicable. I, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the constitution of the United States and the constitution of the State of California against all enemies foreign and domestic, that I will be a true faith and allegiance to the constitution of the United States and the	
35 36	ROLL CALL	
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Commissioners Present: Chair Lowell Vice-Chair Sims Commissioner Baker Commissioner Lowell Commissioner Ramirez Commissioner Sims Commissioner Barnes	

1	Staff Present:
2 3	Mike Lee, Community & Economic Development Director Richard Sandzimier, Planning Official
4	Claudia Manrique, Associate Planner
5	Clement Jimenez, Land Development Engineer
6	Suzanne Bryant, City Attorney
7	Michael Lloyd, Senior Transportation Engineer
8	Randy Metz, Fire Marshall
9	
10	
11	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
12	
13 14	APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA
15	AFFROVAL OF THE AGENDA
16	CHAIR SIMS - Okay, Staff has asked that we add a presentation of recognition
17	item onto the Agenda for our former Commissioner, Mr. Jeff Giba, so can I get a
18	motion to approve the addition to our Agenda from one of our Commissioners?
19	
20	COMMISSIONER BARNES – So moved
21	
22	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – So we have Commissioner Barnes first
23 24	VICE CHAIR LOWELL - I'll second
252627	CHAIR SIMS - And a second. Do we need to do a roll call?
27 28	COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes
29 30	COMMISSIONER BARNES – Yes
31	COMMISSIONED KODZEC Voc
32 33	COMMISSIONER KORZEC – Yes
34	COMMISSIONER BAKER – Yes
35	OCHIMINOSISTEM PARTIES
36	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes
37	
38 39	VICE CHAIR LOWELL – Yes
40	CHAIR SIMS - Yes
41	- CO
42	CHAIR SIMS - Okay so that brings us to the presentation and I'd like to ask our
43	Planning Official, Rick Sandzimier to lead us through that.
44	
45	PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER – Thank you. I'd like to invite forward
46	Former Commissioner Jeff Giba and now City Councilmember for the City We

just want to take a moment before your former peers and the residents of Moreno Valley and Staff. Well we had a plan for that. We're going to give it to you anyways at some point, but as the Planning Official for the City of Moreno Valley, it has been my pleasure in the short time I've been here to be able to work with you as a Commissioner and I'm seeing you in action now as a Councilmember. I know your commitment to the City is immeasurable. It is deep. I know you are very interested in what goes on here in Moreno Valley and so it is with great pride that I get to sit here, even though I didn't get to work with you all the years you were here and there are a lot of people here that might have some things to say after I make these couple of words, but this is a plaque in honor of Jeffrey Giba in recognition and appreciation of your three years of dedicated service, your service to the community. Your service and commitment is greatly appreciated to the successful growth and development of the City of Moreno Valley as a Commissioner from September 13th, 2011 to December 8th, 2014. Thank you very much. This is for you. I will give you a chance to address the audience and also this evening, we do have our Economic Development Director Mike Lee who has not yet had a chance to talk to our Commission but at a point here, I'd like to have Mike step forward also and say a couple of words to yourself and to the Commission, so you're up.

FORMER COMMISSIONER GIBA – I'll keep it really short. This is a surprise. I came here for them. I didn't come here for me. I am truly honored. It is over three years actually and I have to honestly say I have never missed a meeting because I enjoyed being here and working with all of you; the Planning Commission team up here. Well I affectionately call them my homies, so I support them 100 percent in the City and I thank you very much. Thank you.

Community & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR — Good evening Chairperson and members of the Planning Commission. My name is Mike Lee. I'm the Community and Economic Development Director. I just wanted to come out and welcome everybody. I wanted to come out a little bit earlier to greet all the Planning Commissioners, but understanding that there was swearing-in today, I think that there was a better appropriate time to come out and introduce myself and also it is a great opportunity to have a chance to see Councilmember Giba receive the presentation of the plaque, so it was kind of a two for one. I'm very happy to be in the City and excited to be serving the City Council and also serving you. Thank you.

<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – Welcome aboard. Do any of the Commissioners have anything to add?

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- March 12th, 2015
- March 26th, 2015

1 2	
3	CHAIR SIMS - Okay moving along, the next thing on the Agenda is approval of
4 5	the minutes. We have two minute packages on our Agenda today, so the first one is the minutes of the March 12 th , 2015 Commission meeting. You have
6	those in your packet; if you have reviewed them; if everything is I'm going to be
7	abstaining from this one, I wasn't at the meeting, so I'm going to abstain from
8 9	voting, so I'm looking for a Commissioner to make a motion.
10 11	VICE CHAIR LOWELL – I'll motion to approve the minutes.
12 13	COMMISSIONER BAKER - I'll second
14 15	CHAIR SIMS - Okay we have a first and a second.
16 17	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes
18 19	COMMISSIONER BAKER – Yes
20 21	<u>COMMISSIONER BARNES</u> – Yes
22 23	COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes
24 25	VICE CHAIR LOWELL - Yes
26 27	GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – Abstaining we have Sims and Korzec
28 29	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – Okay the next item on the Agenda is the consideration of the minutes of March 26 th , 2015 Commission Meeting. Those also were in your
30	packet for review. If they are good to go, I'd look for a motion.
31 32 33	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – I move to approve
34 35	COMMISSIONER BAKER – I'll second
36 37	CHAIR SIMS - Okay we have a first and second.
38 39	COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes
40	COMMISSIONER BARNES - Yes
41 42	COMMISSIONER BAKER – Yes
43 44	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes
45 46	VICE CHAIR LOWELL – Yes

1 2	CHAIR SIMS – Yes
3	OTTAIN OILIO
4	GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – Commissioner Korzec will abstain
5 6	
7	
8 9	ELECTION OF OFFICERS
10	Chairman
11 12	Vice-Chairman
13	
14 15 16 17	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – Okay, this bring us to the first this is the first meeting in April which per our Rules and Procedures for this Commission is the time to elect Officers. So the process is we need to elect a Chairperson position and ther also the Vice Chair to serve for a one year period.
18	also the vice Chair to serve for a one year period.
19 20	<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – I nominate Brian Lowell for Chair.
21 22	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – I second that. Do we have any other nominations? Okay, we are looking for a vote.
232425	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – You'll want to close the nominations for the Chair.
26 27	COMMISSIONER BAKER – I move that we close the nominations.
28 29 30	COMMISSIONER BARNES - Second
31 32	COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes
33 34	COMMISSIONER BARNES - Yes
35 36	COMMISSIONER KORZEC – Yes
37 38	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes
39 40	COMMISSIONER BAKER – Yes
41	CHAIR SIMS – Yes
42 43	GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – Vice Chair Lowell, do you accept?
44 45	VICE CHAIR LOWELL – Yes
46	

2 3 4	PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER – If I may interject
5 6	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – So is it time to switch?
7 8 9 10	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – Is it customary that the new Chairman actually be able to entertain the nominations for the new Vice Chairman, so that would be customary way to go. You don't have to switch seats yet; we'll wait until the next part is done and then we can switch.
12	COMMISSIONER SIMS - Congratulations
13 14 15	<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you I appreciate it. Thank you for appointing me Chair. I'd like to open up the nominations for the Vice Chair position.
16 17	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – I'd like to nominate Jeffrey Sims for Vice Chair.
18 19	COMMISSIONER BARNES – I'll second that.
20 21	CHAIR LOWELL – Is that the end of nominations?
222324	<u>COMMISSIONER BAKER</u> – I'd like to close nominations or move to close the I move that we close the nominations.
252627	CHAIR LOWELL - I'll second that. Can we have a vote please Grace?
27 28	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes
29 30	COMMISSIONER BAKER – Yes
31 32	COMMISSIONER KORZEC – Yes
33 34	COMMISSIONER BARNES – Yes
35 36	COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes
37 38	CHAIR LOWELL – Yes
39 40	GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – Commissioner Sims do you accept?
41 42	<u>CHAIR SIMS</u> – Yes
43 44 45 46	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – Now you want to take a vote on the actual Vice Chair. That was a vote to close the nomination so the next one is to take a motion to approve the nomination.

CHAIR SIMS – Okay, so that bring us to the next...

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay can we have a roll call vote to approve the nomination of Jeffrey Sims as the Vice Chair?
<u>CITY ATTORNEY EARLY</u> – I think we still need a motion and a second. There was just an interjecting motion there that confused it, so we need to take a motion again to appoint Commissioner Sims as the Vice Chair and a second.
<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Okay I move to appoint Jeffrey Sims as Vice Chair.
COMMISSIONER BAKER – I'll second that.
<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay a motion and a second. Can we have a roll call vote please?
COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes
COMMISSIONER BARNES – Yes
COMMISSIONER KORZEC – Yes
COMMISSIONER SIMS - Yes
COMMISSIONER BAKER – Yes
COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes
CHAIR LOWELL - Yes
CHAIR LOWELL - Okay can we take a quick recess to switch seats around?
PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER – That would be great
<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay a quick recess. Now that we're back and finished with the election of the officers we are now moving to the Public Comments portion of our Agenda.
PUBLIC ADVISED OF THE PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED IN THE MEETING
(On display in the rear of the room)

COMMENTS BY	ANY MEMBER OF	THE PUBLIC	ON ANY MATTE	R WHICH
IS NOT LISTED	ON THE AGENDA	AND WHICH	IS WITHIN THE	SUBJECT
MATTER JURISI	DICTION OF THE CO	OMMISSION		

1 2

Upon request, this agenda will be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with disabilities, in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Any person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in a meeting should direct such request to Mark Sambito, ADA Coordinator, at 951-413-3120 at least 48 hours before the meeting. The 48 hour notification will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Now that brings us to the public comments portion of the Agenda. This is the portion of the meeting where comments by any member of the public on any matter which is not listed on the Agenda and which is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission. Grace do we have any Speaker Slips?

GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – I do not have any speaker slips.

NON-PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay, I guess then we'll do the Public Comments portion of the meeting and then we'll go to the Non-Public Hearing Items and I see we have no Non-Public Hearing Items.

PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER - We have none

PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

Note: At the Applicant's request, Item 1 was continued from the Regular Planning Commission Meeting of March 12th, 2015.

1. Case Description: PA13-0063 Plot Plan

P13-130 Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

44 Applicant: Kearny Real Estate Company 45 Owner: Kearny Real Estate Company

1	Representative:	Jason Rosin, Kearny Real Estate Company
2	Location:	17300 Perris Blvd. (NEC of Perris Blvd. and
3		Modular Way
4	Proposal:	A Plot Plan for the construction of a 1,109,378
5		square foot warehouse building on 50.68 net
6		acres with the demolition of the existing
7		warehouse facility. The project site is in the
8		Moreno Valley Industrial Area Specific Plan
9		208. Approval of this project will require the
10		Review and certification of an EIR.
11	Case Planner:	Claudia Manrique

Recommendation:

 APPROVE Resolution No. 2015-03 and Resolution No. 2015-04 and thereby:

- CERTIFY that Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR), P13-130, for the Modular Logistics Center on file with the Community & Economic Development Department, has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act, the Planning Commission reviewed and considered the information contained in the Final EIR, and the Final EIR reflects the City's independent judgment and analysis as provided for in Planning Commission Resolution 2015-03.
- 2. ADOPT the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations regarding the Final EIR for the Modular Logistics Center, attached hereto as Exhibit A to Resolution 2015-03.
- **3. APPROVE** the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Final EIR for the proposed Modular Logistics Center, attached hereto as Exhibit B to the Resolution 2015-03.
- **4. APPROVE** PA13-0063 Plot Plan, subject to the attached Conditions of Approval included as Exhibit A to Resolution 2015-04.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay, I'll keep moving us on down the line. Now we are moving on to the Public Hearing Items of our Agenda and the first Public Hearing Item is a Plot Plan and an Environmental Impact Report filed by the Kearny Real Estate Company for a 1.1 million square foot modular logistics warehouse, located on 50.68 acres on the northeast corner of Perris Boulevard and Modular

Way. Is there a Staff Report on this item?

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – Yes there is. I'd like to introduce Associate Planner Claudia Manrique to give us our Staff Report today.

<u>ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE</u> – Good evening. I'm Claudia Manrique, Project Planner for PA13-063 Plot Plan and P13-130, the EIR. The applicant,

Kearny Real Estate Company is proposing a Plot Plan for a 1.1 million square foot industrial warehouse. It is located at 17300 Perris Boulevard, which is the northeast corner of Perris Boulevard and Modular Way. The proposed warehouse facility is located within the Industrial Area Specific Plan. The sites adjacent to the project are also zoned Industrial and are within the Industrial Specific Plan as well. Properties to the north include a recently constructed 555,000 square foot industrial distribution center and several other constructed warehouse facilities are further west. To the south is Walgreen's Distribution Facility and to the east is the Moreno Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility. It is a waste water treatment facility, which is operated by Eastern Municipal Water District. The Plot Plan includes 1,109,378 square foot building which includes an approximately 20,000 square feet of office space and the rest is warehouse space. The truck loading areas are to the north and south of the building, with 225 loading bays and truck parking within screened areas. The auto parking will be located on the east and west side of the building. This is the site plan right here. The proposed building is a concrete tilt-up that is designed up to 42 feet in height. The screen walls included in the building are designed to be complimentary to the design and colors of the building. The project was submitted in November of 2013 and has been designed and conditioned to meet the City Municipal Code and Specific Plan requirements. The environmental review process included a scoping meeting, a Draft EIR and the Final EIR. The Final EIR includes comments and responses from letters received during the Draft EIR period. The environmental documents were completed by T & B Planning and concurred by Staff. The Final EIR included significant and unavoidable impacts which are described in the Final EIR. Mitigation Measures have been included for approval with this project. After the Final EIR was circulated, the City received some written communications from Mr. George Hague, via email, dated March 2nd and which focused on traffic and air quality concerns. They are printed on the white paper in front of you. Excuse me, I'm sorry, the white paper is the response to his comments by T & B Planning. So Cal Environmental Justice Alliance provided via mail, a letter dated April 22nd, which is provided tonight on green paper. The Alliance feels that the EIR didn't address environmental justice concerns, focusing only on the physical environment and prior to providing our recommendations, Tracy Zen is here from T & B Planning to provide information on the EIR.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

SPEAKER ZEN – Okay, I think it's working now. I'm going to start over. My name is Tracy Zen with the consulting firm T & B Planning and we prepared the Environmental Impact Report for the project. I'm going to summarize the CEQA process briefly and conclude for you the conclusions of the EIR that you are being asked to certify this evening. The City prepared an Initial Study that was released for a 30 day public review period in March of 2014. Seven comment letters were received on the scope of the EIR and the EIR addressed all of the comments that were received during that period. The scoping meeting that Staff mentioned was held in April of 2014 had very low attendance. There were two attendees. We received verbal comments on the scope of the EIR and

addressed those as well. Over the next seven months, the Environmental Impact Report was prepared along with numerous technical studies that are appended and part of the record. The EIR was released for public review for 30 days in November of 2014. Upon the close of that public review period, five comment letters were received and as Staff mentioned, written responses to all those comments are included in the Final EIR. There is an errata of changes and additions included in the EIR as Table F-2. If you review that table, you'll see that all of the modifications that were made to the document between the time the draft was circulated and the Final EIR was circulated, was just simply clarifications or amplifications of the information that was included in the draft. Therefore the Final EIR did not need to be re-circulated. In conclusion, the EIR found that all impacts would be mitigated to below the level of significance through the application of 49 mitigation measures that the EIR documents and that have been replicated as conditions of approval on the project. The impacts that could not be mitigated to below the level of significance and therefore you are being asked to consider a Statement of Overriding Considerations this evening are a few. The first is regional air quality emissions from NOX, nitrogen oxides from vehicle exhaust. On projects like this there are a lot of vehicle traffic coming to and from the project and by the sheer nature and size of the project, the tailpipe emissions exceed the significant standards of the South Coast Air Quality Management District. Engine requirements, fuel standards, engine standards are all regulated by the State and Federal Government and are beyond the scope of the City, therefore the EIR concluded that there were no feasible mitigation measures that the City could apply to this project to fully reduce that impact level of significance. Greenhouse gas was also found as significant and unmitigable for the same reason. In a sheer abundance of caution, the EIR also concluded that cumulative construction noise may be significant and unmitigable because there are additional parcels around the site that might be under simultaneous construction. There is no way to really to tell exactly when projects are going to be constructed. We conservatively concluded that if by chance multiple projects in this area are under construction at exactly the same time, there might be some cumulative noise impacts during the construction process. And then lastly under the subject area of traffic, this project will have some traffic impacts on congested intersections and roadway segments as well as a couple of segments on the freeway. The project is conditioned to provide or pay development impact fees and the TUMF fees, but because, which would fully mitigate those impacts; fee payment is a completely acceptable form of mitigation under CEQA, but because the improvements might not be physically in place at the time the project starts contributing traffic to those locations, we wanted to make sure there was full disclosure that those areas will continue to experience congestion until the improvements come on line, including the ramps at Harley Knox and I-15 in the City of Perris that are beyond the jurisdiction of Moreno Valley. Staff mentioned the two comments letters that were received after the EIR was circulated. You have our written response to the email communication from Mr. Hague and then the letter from So Cal Environmental Justice that was received this morning. Because of the late arrival

1

2

3 4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2425

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

of that letter, we did not have time to prepare a written response, but we did review the letter in detail and feel that the EIR does address all the points in that letter. I'm not going to do that now but I'm prepared to respond to that letter if you would like me to. So in conclusion in our professional opinion, the EIR is a fully informative document and about the environmental consequences of this project and it would be appropriate for you to certify the EIR this evening in association with your consideration of the project. I'm available for any questions you have. Also the consultant that prepared the Traffic Study, the Greenhouse Gas Study, the Health Risk Study and the Air Quality Report and Noise Report are here if you have any technical questions to address to them. Thank you.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you. Do any of the Commissioners have questions for Staff?

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – We have a little bit more of the presentation that Claudia still has to give.

ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE – I'll start back with... public notice was sent to all property owners within record of 300 feet of the project on March 2nd. The public hearing notice for this project was also posted on site on March 2nd, as well as published in the Press Enterprise Newspaper on March 1st. As of the date of today we have received no public inquiries except the two letters; the email from George Hague which has been addressed and the letter from the Alliance that was received this morning. We have one change from Special Districts, condition SD4. It is on the pink memo in front of you. They are changing to revise from existing irrigation in the parkway to modify the existing irrigation of the median. And Staff recommends approval of Resolution 2015-03 and 04, thereby certifying the Final EIR document and adopt the findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations regarding the Final EIR; approve the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Final EIR and approve PA13-0063, the Plot Plan. Thank you.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you Claudia. Do any of my fellow Commissioners have any questions for Staff?

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – I just had one question. Mr. Hague's letter refers to three Moreno Valley Schools that border on or touch the Heacock Street and that being a truck route and yet I'm looking at the map here. Can anybody tell me which schools he is talking about? I don't see Heacock being affected in any way directly by this project site.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – I do believe there are schools closer if you go farther down north down Heacock, like towards Ironwood, Eucalyptus and that area, but in this general vicinity I don't recall any.

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – Yeah, I think the thing is that Heacock is on the City's traffic circulation and it's a truck route, so they have access up to the 60. I think when reading through this stuff they said that 90 percent of the traffic analysis had 90 percent of the traffic from the project would go either out to Harley Knox out to the 215 and 10 percent or less would be going up Heacock to 60.

COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Okay, thank you.

CHAIR LOWELL – Any other comments for Staff?

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – I do have one question. What year specifically was Specific Plan 208 that maybe just for... give a little color on what the Specific Plan 208 kind of for the record and for the folks that are listening, what Specific Plan 208 does for land use and when was that approved?

 <u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – I don't know the exact date. Maybe Claudia knows the exact date for Specific Plan 208, but Specific Plan 208 includes the southern part of the City. It is an industrial development area right at the north edge of the City of Perris as it comes into the City of Moreno Valley and it extends up to approximately Cactus.

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – Well you have in your package where the limits are. It encompasses all of this property

<u>PLANING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – Exactly. Its general an industrial area and the Specific Plan calls for how an industrial development area would be built out over time. The uses that are allowed in there are industrial, warehouse, manufacturing type uses. It is consistent with what the proposed use for this building would be. It is an industrial manufacturing job center. It would be predominantly jobs. There is some residential development in this area but it is predominantly larger buildings.

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – Could you remind me; could you remind us all of what is to the development west of Specific Plan 208 and to the south of Specific Plan 208 for consistency purposes?

<u>ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE</u> – Further west is the March Reserve Air Base.

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – And to the south what is the land use in the City of Perris adjacent to Specific Plan 208?

ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE – I believe it is all industrial as well.

VICE CHAIR SIMS – Thank you.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> - If there are no other comments I'd like to move on. Would the applicant like to provide us with a further presentation on the project?

2 3 4

> 6 7

> 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

1

APPLICANT ROSIN – Hello. It is a pleasure to be here tonight. My name is Jason Rosin. I'm the Vice President with Kearny Real Estate Company and Kearny Modular Way who is the owner of the project. We were founded in 1993 and we've been in business for over 20 years. This has been a very exciting project to work on. We've been involved... we are a full service real estate company; commercial real estate company involved in everything from property management to asset management, leasing, investments, development, redevelopment and this project certainly fits what we do very well. We've been involved in over four billion dollars' worth of transactions over the last 20 years and the bulk of that has all been in Southern California. We've entitled 500 acres approximately over the course of our history encompassing as much as 10 million square feet in total maximum build-out. Modular Logistics Center which is the project that is before you, we appreciate you hearing it and we're looking forward to moving on to the next phase to bring a user here hopefully and getting it built and creating jobs for the community. We pride ourselves in creating value, not only for our investors and the tenants that occupy our buildings, but also the community and so that is the next phase that we're really looking to do and hoping we can do it as quickly as possible, so thank you. Feel free to ask me any questions if you'd like.

222324

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you. Does anybody have any questions for the applicant?

252627

28

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Yes. So as a real estate development company, you're working on getting this approved, but you don't have a tenant for it yet?

29 30 31

APPLICANT ROSIN - Not at the moment, no

32 33

COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – So is it likely once you get a tenant there might be modifications that would be brought forward for review and approval?

343536

3738

39

<u>APPLICANT ROSIN</u> – Quite possibly. It is hard to know for sure, because it is hard to know whether at the end of the day you built it as a build to suit for a particular tenant or you build it on a speculative basis. Certainly if you do end up having a build to suit, you know changes are always possible, but in general you know the plan is to build a building that you see before you.

40 41 42

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – So would your company be involved in doing the build to suit or are you looking to get the approval for the property so that you can sell the property with the approvals in place?

44 45

<u>APPLICANT ROSIN</u> – At the end of the day, I'm not entirely sure as to what may happen. Our goal would be to build a project for a user. That would be our objective and that would be the ideal plan for us.

COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Thank you.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – I have a quick question for you. What is the benefit to demolishing the existing structure that is on the facility as opposed to a new piece of property to entitle?

<u>APPLICANT ROSIN</u> – Well a couple of things. One, I think aesthetically you'll end up with a much nicer looking property that is more consistent with what will be occurring and already has started to occur in the immediate vicinity from a development perspective. Two; the existing facilities, although it is a nice metal building, it is in many respects obsolete and certainly not the highest and best use of the property. There are fifteen employees for that facility and certainly we think the development that we're doing is significantly more efficient, so I think it is more beneficial from not only a value perspective and aesthetic perspective but also from a jobs creation perspective as well.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you. If there are no other questions for the applicant...

COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – So in other words you don't have a tenant at this time but do you have an idea what kind of a tenant you want to bring in and how many jobs you anticipate will be created?

<u>APPLICANT ROSIN</u> – I think every tenant at the end of the day is different. The types of tenants could range from the Amazon's; the Hanes brands; the Home Depot's; the Lowes. All the tenants that are already in this market and tenants like those are the types of users that use these facilities and so at the end of the day it is hard to know exactly what tenant would be occupying the space. From a jobs creation perspective, you know once again I think there is some variability there, but my guess would be in the range of 200 or 300 to over 500. It really depends on the final build out and what that user's intent is and what exactly is going on in the building and what they need it for, so there is definitely some variability there and I know from a study perspective, you can only look at kind of what the averages are, but so it's hard to know for sure.

COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Thank you.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – So if there are no other comments for the applicant, I'd like to move on to the public comment portion of this item. If there is anyone interested in speaking on this item, please fill out a speaker slip card and provide it to our recording secretary if you have not done so already. Grace has anyone filled out a speaker slip?

3

5

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – Do you want to formally open the public hearing? Saying moving on to the public hearing is fine but just for the record open and then close.

6 7 8

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – I would like to open the public hearing. Do we have any public speaker slips Grace?

9 10 11

GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – We do not have any speaker slips.

12 13

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Then I'll move to close the public hearing. Would any Commissioners like to comment?

141516

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

3334

35

VICE CHAIR SIMS – I do if that's okay. I looked through the documentation that was provided to us and these were going to be as part of this and asked to make findings for and I forget the exact term...overriding considerations. Is that what is the term here and there were several and my focus mainly on air quality and the traffic when I look at these things. What I find with the project though is it is located specifically in a well suited area for warehouse industrial type land use. It is what has been there. It was has been planned for 25 years or more as part of the Specific Plan that the City approved. The area is now just starting to build out there to create the jobs in that planned area. Also as far as the air quality considerations, I've looked over that fairly carefully and even though there is going to be exceedences that can't be mitigated, the fact of it is that the South Coast Air Basin entire basin is impacted by NOX and SOX and based on the amount of cargo movement within the South Coast Air Basin, it doesn't really make sense to put mitigation measures specifically by the City of Moreno Valley that would control emissions on engines that would otherwise need to by set the State or Federal Government, so I think it is kind of a no win situation as far as trying to say a project like this, even though incrementally makes a nonattainable goal that the South Coast Air Quality Basin sets with the current engine emissions. I think it makes sense not to require... it makes sense that overriding findings for at least the air quality make sense. I probably said more than I need to right there, but anyhow that's what I kind of got out of it.

36 37 38

39

40

41 42

43 44

45

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Any other Commissioner comments? I'm in line with Mr. Sims over here. I do believe that the vehicle exhaust for a commercial site is an overriding consideration. If this site were to be developed as a residential, you'd have a far greater impact on the environment. You'd have more car traffic than truck traffic. You'd have more air pollution and more greenhouse gases. This project fits in the exact mold that the rest of the City has been barking about asking for us to put logistical warehouses on the southern portion of the City and not over to the east of our City. This fits in a place that is already zoned for it. It

is on an already industrial site. I think this is a pretty good solution to what everybody is asking for. Would anybody like to make a motion?
<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Sure. I move that we APPROVE Resolution No. 2015-03 and Resolution No. 2015-04 and thereby:
1. CERTIFY that the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR), P13-130, for the Modular Logistics Center on file with the Community & Economic Development Department, has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act, the Planning Commission reviewed and considered the information contained in the Final EIR, and the Final EIR reflects the City's independent judgment and analysis as provided for in Planning Commission Resolution 2015-03.
 ADOPT the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations regarding the Final EIR for the Modular Logistics Center, attached hereto as Exhibit A to Resolution 2015-03.
3. APPROVE the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Final EIR for the proposed Modular Logistics Center, attached hereto as Exhibit B to the Resolution 2015-03.
 APPROVE PA13-0063 Plot Plan, subject to the attached Conditions of Approval included as Exhibit A to Resolution 2015-04.
CITY ATTORNEY EARLY – Would that last one be as amended?
COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yeah I was just looking at that to see if that was the one that it went to as amended by memorandum dated April 20 th , 2015.
COMMISSIONER BAKER – I'll second that
<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – We have a motion and a second. Grace can we have a roll call vote please.

April 23rd, 2015

COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ – Yes

COMMISSIONER BARNES – Yes

COMMISSIONER KORZEC – Yes

COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Yes

COMMISSIONER BAKER	Yes
<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – Yes	
CHAIR LOWELL - Yes	
CHAIR LOWELL – Is there	e a Staff wrap up for this item?
on the Plot Plan and Certi with the authority of the Pla however any effected pers to the City Council. They he the Community Developm	NDZIMIER – There is. Per our Municipal Code, action fication of the Environmental Impact Report does rest anning Commission as the final decision making body, on by this project has the right to appeal your decision have 15 days to do so. That appeal would be filed with nent Director and if an appeal is filed it would be fore the City Council within 30 days.
CHAIR LOWELL – Thank	vou
	,
Case Description: Applicant: Owner:	PA14-0062 Conditional Use Permit Jeries Ayoub Ho Lee
Representative:	Jeries Ayoub
Location:	23080 Alessandro Boulevard, Suite 208
Proposal:	Conditional Use Permit application to allow 99+ Food Mart, a convenience store, to sell alcohol.
	A Type-21 Off-Sale General License, (package
	Store) is required from the Alcohol Beverage
	Control, which authorizes the sale of beer, wine
	and distilled spirits for consumption off the premises where sold.
Case Planner:	Claudia Manrique
	·
Dagamen andation.	
Recommendation:	
APPROVE Re	solution No. 2015-09 and thereby:
71111012110	solution two. 2010 to take thorough.
1. CERT	IFY that the proposed that the proposed Conditional
	ermit is exempt from the provisions of the California
	nmental Quality Act (CEQA), as a Class 1 Categorical
	otion, as a Class 1 Categorical Exemption, Section Existing Facilities, and:

2. APPROVE Conditional Use Permit PA14-0062 based on the findings contained in Planning Commission Resolution 2015-09, subject to the conditions of approval included as Exhibit A of the resolution.

4 5 6

7 8

9

1

2

3

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – This moves us to the second Public Hearing Item, which is a Conditional Use Permit to allow the 99+ Food Mart the permission to sell alcohol. Is there a Staff Report on this item?

10 11 12

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – There is. I'd like to introduce Associate Planner Claudia Manrique again.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

3334

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE – Good evening I'm Claudia Manrique, the Project Planner for PA14-0062. The applicant is applying for a Conditional Use Permit to allow for off-site sales of alcoholic beverages at the existing 99+ Food Mart Convenience Store within the Neighborhood Commercial NC Zoning District, which is located across the way on Alessandro Boulevard at 23080 in Suite 208. The applicant is proposing to offer a limited selection of alcoholic beverages that will make up a small portion of the existing floor area. There will be some minor changes to the interior; moving shelves and adding some coolers, but the existing exterior of this shop will not change at all. A CUP is required for off-site sales of alcohol when the proposed use is within 300 feet of residential. You'll see to the north and to the east and also across the street on the west is all multi-family zoning. According to the State of California, Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, ABC, a Type 21 Off-Site General Alcohol License is required for the off-site sales of beer, wine and distilled spirits. This application will remain pending until the ABC is informed by the City that the CUP has been granted. The site is located within the ABC Census Tract No. 425-12, which according to the ABC is not an over-concentration of alcohol and in fact there is only one other business in this tract that sells alcohol and it is a restaurant and it is under a Type 47 On-Sales Restaurant License and therefore that License doesn't impact the number of Off-Site Licenses that are allowed in this census tract. This is the Census Tract Map. The project was submitted in October of 2014 and the project was reviewed by the Planning Division as well as the Moreno Valley Police Department. The Police have no specific conditions or requirements for the project. Staff has determined that the project will not have a negative impact on the environment and is exempt under CEQA under Class 1, Categorical Exemption, CEQA Section 15301 for Existing Facilities. Public Notice was sent within 300 feet of the project site on April 13th and posted on site also on April 13th and public in Press Enterprise Newspaper on April 12th. As of this evening I have received one response from an owner of a liquor store that is further west on Alessandro. He just would prefer not to have more competition. Planning Staff recommends approval of Resolution No. 2015-09 and Certify that

1 2 3	the proposed Conditional Use Permit is exempt under CEQA and Approve PA14-0062 based on the findings in the conditions. Thank you very much.
4 5	CHAIR LOWELL- Thank you. Are there any questions for Staff?
6 7 8 9	<u>COMMISSIONER KORZEC</u> - I have. I'm kind of new at this so just bear with me. A Type 21 License. Is there a percentage of what is in that facility that needs to be other than alcohol to get that license? Is there a balance that is required?
10 11 12 13	ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE – Actually for the Type 21 is an Off-Sales License, which is like a package store. So a straight liquor store would have this same type of license.
14 15 16	<u>COMMISSIOENR KORZEC</u> – So basically it would be like a liquor store license?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – It is a similar it is basically a similar type license that a liquor store could use. The percentage of alcohol that is in the building is not distinguished based It is based on the particular company's marketing strategy and their own sales strategy. A 99 cent market may have 5 percent of its sales or lower. This type of a market is not a liquor store that might have more than 50 percent of its sales related to alcohol, but we don't have a distinguishing requirement in our code. The Alcohol Beverage and Licensing Board is one who manages and oversees that.
26 27 28 29	<u>COMMISSIONER KORZEC</u> – Okay, so for example if a year from now the store just wanted to do it all liquor and make it a liquor store, they wouldn't have to go for a different license with the ABC?
30 31 32	<u>ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE</u> – It is my understanding that this license would be adequate for straight liquor sales.
33 34	<u>COMMISSIONER KORZEC</u> – Okay, thank you
35 36 37	<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> How far is this like in walking distance from Moreno Valley High School? On the map it looks pretty close?
38 39	CHAIR LOWELL - I would say less than a mile

is actually two blocks away, so probably about half a mile. **COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA** - Okay because I have noticed in this shopping center that there are high school aged kids that hang around there in the

PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER - Moreno Valley High School is off of

Cottonwood. It is approximately between a quarter mile to a half mile distance

would be my estimate. Cottonwood is the next major intersection above... well it

40

41

42

43 44

45

afternoons. My concern was there access to the alcohol, although that is an enforcement end of it. That brings me to my question regarding the floor plan. When they submit the floor plan, is that to show us where in the store they're going to be displaying or keeping the alcoholic beverages?

ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE – Yes and it is also a requirement for the ABC that the diagram that was included in the packet and part of it is being in an area that is visible to the cashier, so that is why it is towards the front of the building. That part of their license mandates that the cashier and the store employees can see the people coming in.

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Okay, well I see where the cashier is and so where it says coolers, is that where the alcohol is going to be or is it going to be on the shelves or where?

ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE – In the coolers

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – In the coolers along there; okay. What is this... it looks like there are two doors in the front; one of them next to the cash register and another one that is marked that is right next to where one of the coolers is?

CHAIR LOWELL – Easy access

<u>ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE</u> – From my understanding the last time I've been into the store, there is just the one entrance and the other one; there is a door there but it is not used, but the applicant is here and he can better explain the entrance and exit.

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Okay maybe I'll wait till the applicant comes us and do the rest of my questions.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – I have question real quick for Staff before we get the applicant up here. Why is this called an off-site license? What does the off-site specify, that you can buy it and take it home of they are actually moving outside of the building to sell it?

<u>ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE</u> – Right, they are selling packaged drinks that you will take off-site to consume, so as the restaurant is on-sale; meaning that you can only drink it inside the restaurant or designated area.

CHAIR LOWELL – So this is really only referring to where you can consume the alcohol?

ASSOCIATE PLANNER MANRIQUE - Yes

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay just a point of clarity. Thank you. Any other comments or questions for Staff?

2 3 4

1

<u>COMMISSIONER BARNES</u> – Yeah I have a question. Does the City have input in the ABC census tracts configuration?

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12 <u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – I don't believe we have say in the census tract. To say that the City has is given to the Sheriff's Department whenever there is an over concentration of alcohol licenses within a census tract and if there is a high crime rate if those two parameters are present, then Alcoholic Beverage Control will ask the City Sheriff's Department to make a determination of public convenience and necessity. In this particular case it is not an over concentrated area and so that was not an issue.

13 14 15

16

17

18

<u>COMMISSIONER BARNES</u> – It just seems that the configuration of the tracts are such that you are going to get some odd results based on the analysis of the adjacent tracts, because they are linear north and south, yet the commercial development and is kind of east west... not specific to this project, more of a general question.

19 20 21

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Any other questions for Staff? If not I would like to ask the Applicant to the podium and provide us with their presentation.

222324

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

3738

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

APPLICANT AYOUB – Yes my name is Jeries Ayoub. Me and my wife work in this store. This is my eleventh year in the store. The shopping center you have ten years ago there is a liquor store. There was a liquor store in the shopping center and the old owner Jack (?), when we came to rent from him ten years ago, he refused to get the liquor license because of the problem of the other owner, which is not us you know and then a new owner came. His name was Joseph. He bought the shopping center two years ago. He told me you can apply for liquor. We need liquor in the shopping center and then he sold the store to a Korean guy, Mr. Ho. Last September the manager of the shopping center came to our store and I told him you know my lease is up in February 2015. I have to leave. He said why? I told him there is a competition, a 99 cents store came on Cottonwood, one block from me and it hurt my business a lot and we are losing lots of business for the community: for the store, so my sale are about thirteen thousand dollars a month from this 99 cent store. So I told him if I can get the liquor, maybe I'll do a little bit better so I can pay my rent. My rent is three thousand, nine hundred and eight dollars and he told me okay I will reduce your rent to thirty three hundred and will give you the liquor license, so I can stay in the shopping center. I told him I'm going to move my store to Riverside if he didn't agree with my finding and then he told me okay go ahead and apply for the liquor. I went to the ABC, which you know was in September 9 or September 10th I believe in 2014. We entered the lottery, but October 6th they told us there is no lottery because there were not enough people so you got the approval, so on October 27 we went down and we signed and we paid them seven hundred dollars for the license plus we did get a check for almost thirteen thousand, eight hundred in September and then we did a fingerprint and they sent me a letter it says we are waiting for the City for a copy of a Conditional Use Permit from the Zoning Department. This is what they are waiting for before they approve and as I heard from Ms. Claudia, they told me the Police approved it. As for your concern, I think the school is far away from our store; about a mile, because from our store to Cottonwood is a half a mile and half a mile all the way to the right is the school far away. It is about a mile. There is no schools around us at all and this area is empty and the neighborhood on this side and behind us there is a wall. People can walk to us. It takes them about maybe 600 feet to come to the store. Nobody jumps the wall you know. Any questions?

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Yes, on your floor plan here I see where you have it lined up for the coolers where they can been seen from the cash register. Is there an exit for your customers through that back door?

APPLICANT AYOUB – No the back door is... I have three doors; I mean four doors in the store, because I have... 70 feet wide; the length is 70 feet by 40, so we have two back doors and two front doors. The back door on the left side of the building is closed completely. I have stuff... I mean I have my office over there and the other door; I have a metal door inside the front door. There is two doors that are always locked and then the door on the front I took the handle and I put refrigerators so there is no access at all, so only one door only and there are refrigerators right now, but we try to put in coolers. I have ten refrigerators in the store. On this side I am going to put the two coolers for beer only and the rest are for soda and water and it is closed from here. I closed this, so if somebody wants to get beer, I have to go all the way around and so I can see him and I have 35 cameras in the store. I watch the store in every inch and even outside the store also I have cameras, so the hard liquor is going to be behind the register. There is no hard liquor at all outside; no access to hard liquor; like my cigarettes behind me all the time.

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Okay and the restrooms; are those controlled by key so somebody couldn't grab a drink and go hide in the restroom and drink it?

<u>APPLICANT AYOUB</u> – Our restroom is closed for the public. We never we let anybody use the restroom and we have like a screen to go to our bathroom, which is our private bathroom for us, but the other bathroom has stuff in it. It is closed completely and if anybody asks me for the bathrooms, I say there is the laundry or the restaurants.

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Okay, alright, those were my questions. Thank you.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Any other questions or comments for the applicant? Okay, any comments from the Commissioners?

<u>COMMISSIONER BARNES</u> – A quick question for Staff. I'm assuming that the locking of one of the front and one of the rear doors has been approved by Public Safety, either Police or Fire?

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – It would actually be handled through our Building Department and then if necessary we would talk to the Fire Department and the Police Department. The item before you today is a Conditional Use Permit, so this is the land use approval. The actual Certificate of Occupancy; that would be issued subsequently or they already have the Certificate of Occupancy for the store as it is, so that is something that would be outside of the purview of the use permit.

COMMISSIONER BARNES – It would be just a code violation or something?

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – I don't want to say that it is but it is something that we could look into if that is an issue, but at this point I don't have any evidence to suggest that it is.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay I'd like to open the public comment portion; open the Public Hearing portion of this item. If there is anyone interested in speaking on this item please fill out a speaker card and provide it to our recording secretary if you have not done so already. Grace do we have any speaker slips?

GRACE ESPINO-SALCEDO – We do not have any

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay if we don't have any speaker slips, I'd like to close the Public Hearing.

APPLICANT AYOUB – I have a comment

CHAIR LOWELL – Yes sir

APPLICANT AYOUB – On Tuesday morning I decided to petition. Within Tuesday and Wednesday I collected three hundred and almost four hundred signatures from the people around us in the area and everybody wants liquor in my store. The portion of the liquor is not too much because I have lots of stuff in the store. I have all kinds of napkins, paper towels, sodas, food, kitchen supplies, sports supplies, school supplies, toys; everything. I'm not going to have the whole thing liquor. I have three thousand square feet. Just behind me, maybe three or four kinds and some kinds of beer. It is not too much. Most of my customers ask me please we need a liquor store here because most of my customers don't go to Moreno Valley liquor stores to buy their liquor, they come from Corona, Pomona and Riverside where they buy their liquor. They never

stop on the way on Alessandro and when they come to my shop because I do lots of services. I do in my store over twenty-five services helping the community. I do five companies that receive money; MoneyGram, (?) I do money orders. I do copies. I do faxes; receive and send. Also I do keys; car keys, house keys, broken keys; locks. I do bill payment. I do all the bills; trash, gas, light, cell phones. I do sell stamps, bus passes. I do computer repair. I'm a computer programmer and I do have water outside for the customers. Whatever service they need, they print from the internet. They print their email. I scan. I email to Mexico. I email to companies. Whatever service; I mean over twenty-five services. What they need, they come to me. They come Riverside. They come Beaumont. They come from far away to the store and when they are there, they like to buy their beer and liquor. This is what they told me. I have a petition here with about four hundred names around us and they came and said we need you Jeries here.

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – I believe I closed it, but I'll just double check. I'd like to close the Public Hearing portion of this item now. Any comments by the Commissioners?

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – I just wanted to say I like to see someone providing services for the community that the community needs and also someone who has been here a long time and knows what his customers want, trying to respond to that need and it sounds like he's someone who would be very careful about the sale of liquor and control it properly.

CHAIR LOWELL – Any other comments?

<u>COMMISSIONER BAKER</u> – The only thing I'd like to say is I did go down and tour the store with Jeries and his wife and they run an upstanding store there and he's going to put the liquor behind the cash register like he said and it will be controlled and the beer. From what I could see, it's an upright standing business and I think it's in area where possibly there is a need definitely and I was there during the night part and I never say any element around there that I could see and then during the day part too, so I would vote for this project to go forward okay.

CHAIR LOWELL – Any other comments?

VICE CHAIR SIMS – It sounds like a motion to me

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Well on the coat tails of that one would anyone like to motion?

<u>COMMISSIONER BAKER</u> – I'll make the motion, okay. Let's **APPROVE** Resolution No. 2015-05 and thereby:

1 2 3 4 5	1. CERTIFY that the proposed that the proposed Conditional Use Permit is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as a Class 1 Categorical Exemption, as a Class 1 Categorical Exemption, Section 15301, Existing Facilities, and;
6 7 8 9 10	 APPROVE Conditional Use Permit PA14-0062 based on the findings contained in Planning Commission Resolution 2015- 09, subject to the conditions of approval included as Exhibit A of the resolution.
2	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – I second it
13 14 15	<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – I do believe there is a clarification there. I think it is Resolution No. 2015-09.
16 17	COMMISSIONER BAKER – Okay
18 19 20	<u>CITY ATTORNEY EARLY</u> – I just want to confirm we had the right Resolution number.
21 22	COMMISSIONER BAKER – You bet, thank you.
23 24 25	<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay we have a motion and a second? Grace can we have the vote please?
26 27	COMMISSIONER RAMIREZ - Yes
28 29	COMMISSIONER BARNES - Yes
30 31	COMMISSIONER KORZEC – Yes
32 33	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA - Yes
34	
35 36	COMMISSIONER BAKER - Yes
37 38	<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> - Yes
39 10	CHAIR LOWELL - Yes
11	CHAIR LOWELL - Do we have a Staff wrap up?
12 13 14 15 16	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – Yes this is another item that the final authority on the matter is the Planning Commission, however it is appealable to the City Council by any interested party that feels affected by the project, has the right to appeal the project to the City Council within 15 days. That appeal will

appealed to the Community Development Director and if filed will scheduled for hearing before the City Council within 30 days.

OTHER COMMISSION BUSINESS

<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you and that moves us onto Other Business. Are there any other business items on the Agenda today?

PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER – There are none

STAFF COMMENTS

<u>VICE CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Okay, do we have any Commissioner or any Staff comments?

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – I have a request. In my day job I work for a water district and if anybody has been reading the paper or watch the news, Governor Brown has issued a mandate; an emergency order to cut back 25 percent on the gallons per day per person usage in all of California. That is going to effect various agencies that are retail agencies. I believe Eastern has an even higher; currently as it stands, it is a higher impact on the gallons per day per capita greater than 25 percent. Having said that, this Commission sees projects brought forth that have conditions for landscaping and for various projects. I would like it if the Staff could very soon or maybe the next Planning Commission, give us an idea of what steps the City is taking in looking at its ordinances on the amount of turf and plantings and so forth that goes in for projects and is there any potential consideration that would go into accommodating meeting the Governor's goal for reduction in water usage?

<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – We'd be happy to put an item like that on the Agenda. We will give you an overview of what is already in our Code and we will talk to you about what the City is considering to address that issue. We'll put that on the meeting for May 14th.

<u>VICE CHAIR SIMS</u> – Yeah I appreciate that. I believe the Governor and the State Water Board is working up the rules and reg's on how they are going to do that implementation. I believe that is all going in somewhere June 1st I think they become effective, so something that is topical and probably our Commission and the City Council may want to consider that.

<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> - I think in the interest of saving water, I'll drink less water and maybe go visit Jeries store.

1 2 3 4	CHAIR LOWELL – I like your idea Meli, I like your idea.
5 6 7	PLANNING COMMISSIONER COMMENTS
8 9 10 11 12	<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – With that do we have any comments from the Commissioners besides what we have already heard?
13 14 15	ADJOURNMENT
16 17 18	CHAIR LOWELL – If not then I believe this concludes our meeting. Thank you.
19 20 21 22	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – You're adjourning your meeting to the next regular meeting which will be on May 14 th . We'd normally meet on the 4 th however we'll be coming back with a presentation on the Capital Improvement Budget, which is an important item before it goes to the City Council.
23 24	COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA – Is that the 12 th or the 14 th ?
25 26	COMMISSIONER BAKER – It says on here the 12 th
27 28	CHAIR LOWELL – The 14 th is a Thursday.
29 30	<u>COMMISSIONER VAN NATTA</u> – Okay then this was incorrect.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	<u>PLANNING OFFICIAL SANDZIMIER</u> – The last comment I have is it is nice from this perspective to see all the seats filled. I look forward to working with the newest Commissioner Patricia Korzec and I congratulate all the rest of you that were reappointed. It has been my pleasure to be your Planning Official and I look forward to the next year in serving the new Chairman and Vice Chair. Thank you.
38 39 40 41 42	<u>CHAIR LOWELL</u> – Thank you. I'd like to adjourn the meeting to the May 14 th meeting. Thank you and have a good night.
43 44	NEXT MEETING

1	Planning Commission Regula	ar Meeting, May 14 th , 2015 at 7:00 pm, City of	
2	Moreno Valley, City Hall Council Chamber, 14177 Frederick Street, Moreno		
3	Valley, CA, 92533.		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	Richard Sandzimier	Date	
13	Planning Official		
14	Approved		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Brian Lowell	Date	
25	Chair		
26			