



December 17, 2021

**ARDURA**

Attention: *Lori Trottier*  
3737 Birch Street, Suite 250  
Newport Beach, California 92660

**SUBJECT: Habitat Assessment and Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Consistency Analysis for the Perris at Pentecostal Project Located in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California.**

**Introduction**

This report contains the findings of ELMT Consulting’s (ELMT) habitat assessment and Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) consistency analysis for the proposed Perris at Pentecostal Project (project site or site) located in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California. The field investigation was conducted by biologists Jacob H. Lloyd Davies on October 7, 2021 to document baseline conditions and assess the potential for special-status<sup>1</sup> plant and wildlife species to occur within the proposed project site that could pose a constraint to implementation of the proposed project. Special attention was given to the suitability of the on-site habitat to support burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*) and several other special-status species identified by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife’s (CDFW) California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) and other electronic databases as potentially occurring on or within the general vicinity of the project site.

In addition, the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA) MSHCP Information Map was queried to determine if the MSHCP identifies any potential survey requirements for the project. Further, the project site was reviewed against the MSHCP to determine if the site is located within any MSHCP areas including Criteria Cells (core habitat and wildlife movement corridors) or areas proposed for conservation. Based on the RCA MSHCP Information Map query and review of the MSHCP, it was determined that the project site is located within the Reche Canyon/Badlands Area Plan of the MSHCP, but is not located within any designated Criteria Cells or conservations areas. Further, it was determined that the site is only located within the MSHCP designated survey area for burrowing owl.

**Project Location**

The project site is generally located south of State Route 60, west of State Route 79, and north and east of Interstate 215 in the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California. The site is depicted on the Sunnymead quadrangle of the United States Geological Survey’s (USGS) 7.5-minute topographic map

---

<sup>1</sup> As used in this report, “special-status” refers to plant and wildlife species that are federally, State, and MSHCP listed, proposed, or candidates; plant species that have been designated with a California Native Plant Society Rare Plant Rank; wildlife species that are designated by the CDFW as fully protected, species of special concern, or watch list species; and specially protected natural vegetation communities as designated by the CDFW.

series in Section 19 of Township 3 South, Range 3 West. Specifically, the project site is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Santiago Drive and Emma Lane within Assessor's Parcel Numbers 485-220-006, -007, -008, -009, -015, -043, and -044. Refer to Exhibits 1-3 in Attachment A.

### **Project Description**

The project is proposed on 20.40 gross acres of land in an area that is under construction and partially urbanized near the western boundary of the Moreno Valley City Limits. Current access to the project site is from Emma Lane via Iris Avenue. Emma Lane is a partially improved Collector Street and currently provides driveway access to several single-family residences located within the boundaries of the project site at the southeast corner of Iris Avenue and Santiago Drive right-of-way. Santiago Drive is under construction currently and is planned as a Collector Street. Iris Avenue is designated as an Arterial in the MoVal 2040 Circulation Element (Moreno Valley, 2021). The project includes dedications for ultimate street right-of-way along Emma Lane, Iris Avenue, and Santiago Drive. The project will be constructed on 18.05 net acres of land located north and east of the intersection of Emma Lane and Iris Avenue.

The project is a gated 426-unit apartment complex on 18.05 net acres of land at a density of 23.61 DU/AC in compliance with the Moreno Valley Zoning Code and General Plan. The project will require consolidation of the seven separate parcels and right-of-way dedication for ultimate street right-of-way. Construction of adjacent street improvements to ultimate right-of-way width for Emma Lane, Santiago Drive and Iris Avenue will occur with the project. Construction and dedication of 1.845 acres for public open space at the northeast corner of the project site will also occur with the project. A 9-month construction period is anticipated for the project and will start at the beginning of the last quarter of 2022, with demolition of the existing structures located at the northwest property corner and grading (approximately 10,500 cubic yards of cut and 22,280 cubic yards of fill). Grading will be followed by installation of infrastructure, street improvements, backbone driveway circulation, and building foundations. Plans indicate that buildings will be constructed starting from southerly end of the project site near Iris Avenue and progress toward the north.

Plans show two apartment building types: Three "E-Urban" Apartment Buildings and 21 "Big House" Apartment Buildings with ancillary facilities including open space/common area, trash enclosures, carports, bike storage, Electronic Vehicle EV charging stations, and a water quality basin proposed. Following is a summary of improvements that will be implemented with the Project following approval of the Plot Plan, CEQA certification, and finalization of the Parcel Tract Map:

Improvements to Public Right-of-Way along adjacent streets. Two-way: travel lanes, curb, gutter and sidewalks:

- Santiago Drive (Approximately 937 linear feet of street frontage. East-West Collector with an improved width of 66 feet),
- Emma Lane (Approximately 1,184 linear feet of street frontage. North-South Collector with an improved width of 66 feet),
- Iris Avenue (Approximately 588 linear feet of street frontage. East-West Arterial with an improved width of 100 feet),

## **Methodology**

### *Literature Review*

The first step in determining if a project is consistent with the above listed sections of the MSHCP is to conduct a literature review and records search for special-status biological resources potentially occurring on or within the vicinity of the project site. Previously recorded occurrences of special-status plant and wildlife species and their proximity to the project were determined through a query of the CDFW's CNDDDB Rarefind 5, the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Electronic Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California, Calflora Database, compendia of special-status species published by CDFW, United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) species listings, and species covered within the MSHCP and associated technical documents.

All available reports, survey results, and literature detailing the biological resources previously observed on or within the vicinity of the project site were reviewed to understand existing site conditions and note the extent of any disturbances that have occurred on the project site that would otherwise limit the distribution of special-status biological resources. Standard field guides and texts were reviewed for specific habitat requirements of special-status and non-special-status biological resources, as well as the following resources:

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Program “My Waters” data layers
- Google Earth Pro historic aerial imagery (1985-2021);
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), Soil Survey<sup>2</sup>;
- USFWS Critical Habitat designations for Threatened and Endangered Species;
- USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI);
- Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan;
- Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA) MSHCP Information Map; and
- 2006 Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Area.

The literature review provided a baseline from which to inventory the biological resources potentially occurring on the project site. The CNDDDB database was used, in conjunction with ArcGIS software, to locate the nearest recorded occurrences of special-status species and determine the distance from the project.

### *Habitat Assessment/Field Investigation*

Following the literature review, biologist Jacob H. Lloyd Davies initially inventoried and evaluated the condition of the habitat within the project site on October 7, 2021. Plant communities identified on aerial photographs during the literature review were verified by walking meandering transects through the plant communities and along boundaries between plant communities. In addition, aerial photography was

---

<sup>2</sup> A soil series is defined as a group of soils with similar profiles developed from similar parent materials under comparable climatic and vegetation conditions. These profiles include major horizons with similar thickness, arrangement, and other important characteristics, which may promote favorable conditions for certain biological resources.

reviewed prior to the site investigation to locate potential natural corridors and linkages that may support the movement of wildlife through the area. These areas identified on aerial photography were then walked during the field survey.

All plant and wildlife species observed, as well as dominant plant species within each plant community, were recorded. Plant species observed during the field survey were identified by visual characteristics and morphology in the field. Unusual and less familiar plant species were photographed during the field survey and identified in the laboratory using taxonomical guides. Wildlife detections were made through observation of scat, trails, tracks, burrows, nests, and/or visual and aural observation. In addition, site characteristics such as soil condition, topography, hydrology, anthropogenic disturbances, indicator species, condition of on-site plant communities, and presence of potential jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were noted.

#### Soil Series Assessment

On-site and adjoining soils were researched prior to the field survey using the USDA NRCS Soil Survey for Western Riverside Area, California. In addition, a review of the local geological conditions and historical aerial photographs was conducted to assess the ecological changes that the project site has undergone.

#### Plant Communities

Plant communities were mapped using 7.5-minute USGS topographic base maps and aerial photography. The plant communities were delineated on an aerial photograph, classified in accordance with those described in the MSHCP, and then digitized into GIS Arcview. The Arcview application was used to compute the area of each plant community in acres.

#### Plants

Common plant species observed during the field survey were identified by visual characteristics and morphology in the field and recorded in a field notebook. Unusual and less-familiar plants were photographed in the field and identified in the laboratory using taxonomic guides. Taxonomic nomenclature used in this study follows the 2012 Jepson Manual (Hickman 2012). In this report, scientific names are provided immediately following common names of plant species (first reference only).

#### Wildlife

Wildlife species detected during field surveys by sight, calls, tracks, scat, or other sign were recorded during surveys in a field notebook. Field guides were used to assist with identification of wildlife species during the survey included The Sibley Field Guide to the Birds of Western North America (Sibley 2003), A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians (Stebbins 2003), and A Field Guide to Mammals of North America (Reid 2006). Although common names of wildlife species are fairly well standardized, scientific names are provided immediately following common names in this report (first reference only).

#### Jurisdictional Drainages and Wetlands

Aerial photography was reviewed prior to conducting a field investigation in order to locate and inspect any potential natural drainage features, ponded areas, or water bodies that may fall under the jurisdiction

of the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board), or CDFW. In general, surface drainage features indicated as blue-line streams on USGS maps that are observed or expected to exhibit evidence of flow are considered potential riparian/riverine habitat and are also subject to state and federal regulatory jurisdiction. In addition, ELMT reviewed jurisdictional waters information through examining historical aerial photographs to gain an understanding of the impact of land-use on natural drainage patterns in the area. The USFWS National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Program “My Waters” data layers were also reviewed to determine whether any hydrologic features and wetland areas have been documented on or within the vicinity of the project site.

### **Topography and Soils**

The project site is relatively flat with no areas of topographic relief. On-site elevation ranges from 1,507 to 1,523 feet above mean sea level and the site slopes from north to south. Based on the NRCS USDA Web Soil Survey, the project site is underlain by Greenfield sandy loam (0 to 2 percent slopes) and Hanford coarse sandy loam (0 to 2 percent slopes). Refer to Exhibit 4, *Soils*, in Attachment A. Soils on-site have been mechanically disturbed and heavily compacted from historic land uses (i.e., historic agricultural activities and development, grading, routine weed abatement, illegal dumping, staging and stockpiling activities, and existing residential development). Historic aerials show these activities have been ongoing since at least 1966.

### **Existing Site Condition**

The project site occurs in an area that historically supported agricultural activities. At present, the site is surrounded by residential and commercial development to the east and south, and elementary school to the west, and residential development and active construction sites to the north. Notable adjacent developments include a utility station and Home Depot with an associated parking lot along the east boundaries. The project site itself supports primarily undeveloped land with scattered developed areas.

### **Vegetation**

Due to existing land uses, no native plant communities or natural communities of special concern were observed on or adjacent to the project site. The site consists of vacant, undeveloped land that has been subject to a variety of anthropogenic disturbances and was historically used for agricultural activities and residential development. At present, the site still supports one residence that has become dilapidated due to illegal occupancy and materials scavenging. The site no longer supports agricultural activities, but has been subjected to routine weed abatement, illegal dumping (including petrochemicals), and additional disturbance associated with surrounding development and illicit camping/occupancy. These disturbances have eliminated the natural plant communities that were once present on and surrounding the project site. Refer to Attachment C, *Site Photographs*, for representative site photographs. No native plant communities will be impacted from implementation of the proposed project.

The project site supports two (2) land cover types that would be classified as disturbed and developed (refer to Exhibit 5, *Vegetation*). Disturbed areas supported by the project site vary in vegetative density and supports mainly non-native weedy/early successional species, in addition to some ornamental and fruiting trees associated with historic land uses. Plant species observed in the disturbed areas of the site include Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*), tree tobacco (*Nicotiana glauca*), bromes (*Bromus* spp.), mustard

(*Hirschfeldia incana*), telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), puncturevine (*Tribulus terrestris*), Mexican fan palm (*Washingtonia robusta*), bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*), totalote (*Centaurea melitensis*), common sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*), jimsonweed (*Datura wrightii*), ragweed (*Ambrosia psilostachya*), clustered tarweed (*Deinandra fasciculata*), olive (*Olea europaea*), Jerusalem thorn (*Parkinsonia aculeata*), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), guava (*Psidium* sp.), mulberry (*Morus alba*), and pepper trees (*Schinus molle* & *S. terebinthius*).

The project site supports developed land in the form of an existing residence, paved driveways, remnant foundations, and portions of Emma Lane. Plant species supported in developed portions of the site include especially hardy non-native species such as Russian thistle, Mediterranean mustard, and puncture vine, in addition to ornamental/fruited tree species.

### **Wildlife**

Plant communities provide foraging habitat, nesting/denning sites, and shelter from adverse weather or predation. This section provides a discussion of those wildlife species that were observed or are expected to occur within the project site. The discussion is to be used a general reference and is limited by the season, time of day, and weather conditions in which the field survey was conducted. Wildlife detections were based on calls, songs, scat, tracks, burrows, and direct observation.

### **Fish**

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status fish species as potentially occurring within the project site. Further, no fish or hydrogeomorphic features (e.g., perennial creeks, ponds, lakes, reservoirs) that would provide suitable habitat for fish were observed on or within the vicinity of the site. Therefore, no fish are expected to occur and are presumed absent.

### **Amphibians**

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status amphibian species as potentially occurring within the project site. Further, no amphibians or hydrogeomorphic features (e.g., perennial creeks, ponds, lakes, reservoirs) that would provide suitable habitat for amphibian species were observed on or within the vicinity of the site. Therefore, no amphibians are expected to occur.

### **Reptiles**

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status reptilian species as potentially occurring within the project site. The site provides a limited amount of habitat for reptile species adapted to a high degree of human disturbance associated with the on-site weed abatement activities and development. The only reptilian species observed during the field investigation was common side-blotched lizard (*Uta stansburiana elegans*). Common reptilian species that could be expected to occur on-site include Great Basin fence lizard (*Sceloporus occidentalis longipes*) and San Diego alligator lizard (*Elgaria multicarinata webbii*).

### **Birds**

The project site provides suitable foraging and nesting habitat for bird species adapted to a high degree of human disturbance. Bird species detected during the field survey include European collared dove

(*Streptopelia decaocto*), Cassin's kingbird (*Tyrannus vociferans*), Say's phoebe (*Sayornis saya*), common raven (*Corvus corax*), rock pigeon (*Columba livia*), Anna's hummingbird (*Calypte anna*), red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*), house finch (*Haemorhous mexicanus*), western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*), black phoebe (*Sayornis nigricans*), American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*), and northern mockingbird (*Mimus polyglottos*).

### Mammals

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status mammalian species as potentially occurring within the project site. Mammalian species detected during the field investigation include pocket gopher (*Thomomys bottae*), and cottontail (*Sylvilagus audubonii*). Additional common mammalian species that could be expected to occur include possum (*Didelphis virginiana*), ground squirrel (*Otospermophilus beecheyi*), and raccoon (*Procyon lotor*).

### Nesting Birds and Raptors

No active nests or birds displaying nesting behavior were observed during the field survey, which was conducted during breeding season. Although subjected to routine disturbance, the ornamental vegetation found on-site has the potential to provide suitable nesting habitat for year-round and seasonal avian residents, as well as migrating songbirds that could occur in the area that area adapted to urban environments. Additionally, the disturbed portions of the site have to potential to support ground-nesting birds such as killdeer. No raptors are expected to nest on-site due to lack of suitable nesting opportunities.

Nesting birds are protected pursuant to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Fish and Game Code (Sections 3503, 3503.5, 3511, and 3513 prohibit the take, possession, or destruction of birds, their nests or eggs). If construction occurs between February 1st and August 31st, a pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds should be conducted within three (3) days of the start of any vegetation removal or ground disturbing activities to ensure that no nesting birds will be disturbed during construction.

### Migratory Corridors and Linkages

Habitat linkages provide connections between larger habitat areas that are separated by development. Wildlife corridors are similar to linkages but provide specific opportunities for animals to disperse or migrate between areas. A corridor can be defined as a linear landscape feature of sufficient width to allow animal movement between two comparatively undisturbed habitat fragments. Adequate cover is essential for a corridor to function as a wildlife movement area. It is possible for a habitat corridor to be adequate for one species yet still inadequate for others. Wildlife corridors are features that allow for the dispersal, seasonal migration, breeding, and foraging of a variety of wildlife species. Additionally, open space can provide a buffer against both human disturbance and natural fluctuations in resources.

The project site has not been identified as occurring in a wildlife corridor or linkage. The proposed project will be confined to existing areas that have been heavily disturbed and are isolated from regional wildlife corridors and linkages. In addition, there are no riparian corridors, creeks, or useful patches of steppingstone habitat (natural areas) within or connecting the site to a recognized wildlife corridor or linkage. As such, implementation of the proposed project is not expected to impact wildlife movement opportunities. Therefore, impacts to wildlife corridors or linkages are not expected to occur.

### **Jurisdictional Areas**

There are three key agencies that regulate activities within inland streams, wetlands, and riparian areas in California. The Corps Regulatory Branch regulates discharge of dredge or fill materials into “waters of the United States” pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Of the State agencies, the CDFW regulates alterations to streambed and bank under Fish and Wildlife Code Sections 1600 et seq., and the Regional Board regulates discharges into surface waters pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA and the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

No jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were observed on the project site or within the during the field investigation. Further, no blue-line streams have been recorded on the project site. As such, development of the project will not result in impacts to Corps, Regional Board, or CDFW jurisdiction and regulatory approvals will not be required.

### **Special-Status Biological Resources**

The CNDDDB was queried for reported locations of special-status plant and wildlife species as well as natural communities of special concern in the Sunnymead USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle. Only one quadrangle was used due to the proximity of the site to quadrangle boundaries and regional topography. A search of published records within this quadrangle was conducted using the CNDDDB Rarefind 5 online software and the CDFW BIOS database and the CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California that supplied information regarding the distribution and habitats of vascular plants in the vicinity of the project site. The habitat assessment evaluated the conditions of the habitat(s) within the boundaries of the project site to determine if the existing plant communities, at the time of the survey, have the potential to provide suitable habitat(s) for special-status plant and wildlife species.

The literature search identified thirteen (13) special-status plant species, sixty-eight (68) special-status wildlife species, and one (1) special-status plant communities as having potential to occur within the Sunnymead quadrangle. Special-status plant and wildlife species were evaluated for their potential to occur within the project site based on habitat requirements, availability and quality of suitable habitat, and known distributions. Species determined to have the potential to occur within the general vicinity are presented in *Table D-1: Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources*, provided in Attachment D. Refer to Table D-1 for a determination regarding the potential occurrence of special-status plant and wildlife species within the project site.

#### **Special-Status Plants**

According to the CNDDDB and CNPS, twenty-three (23) special-status plant species have been recorded in the Sunnymead quadrangle (refer to Attachment D). No special-status plants were observed on the project site during the field investigation. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of on-site habitats, it was determined no special-status plant species have potential to occur on-site due to the lack of native habitats and routine on-site disturbances and all are presumed absent.

#### **Special-Status Wildlife**

According to the CNDDDB, sixty-eight (68) special-status wildlife species have been reported in the Sunnymead quadrangle (refer to Attachment D). No special-status wildlife species were observed on the

project site during the field investigation. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of on-site habitats, it was determined that the project site has a moderate potential to support Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*), sharp-shinned hawk (*Accipiter striatus*), and California horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris actia*); and a low potential to support burrowing owl. It was further determined that the project site does not have potential to support any of the other special-status wildlife species known to occur in the vicinity of the site and all are presumed absent.

None of the aforementioned special-status wildlife species are state or federally listed as threatened or endangered. In order to ensure impacts to these avian species do not occur from implementation of the proposed project, a pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey shall be conducted prior to ground disturbance. With implementation of the pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey, impacts to special-status avian species will be less than significant and no mitigation will be required.

### Special-Status Plant Communities

The CNDDDB lists one (1) special-status habitat as being identified within the Sunnymead quadrangle: Southern Sycamore Alder Riparian Woodland. No CDFW special-status plant communities occur within the boundaries of the project site.

### Critical Habitat

Under the federal Endangered Species Act, "Critical Habitat" is designated at the time of listing of a species or within one year of listing. Critical Habitat refers to specific areas within the geographical range of a species at the time it is listed that include the physical or biological features that are essential to the survival and eventual recovery of that species. Maintenance of these physical and biological features requires special management considerations or protection, regardless of whether individuals or the species are present or not. All federal agencies are required to consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) regarding activities they authorize, fund, or permit which may affect a federally listed species or its designated Critical Habitat. The purpose of the consultation is to ensure that projects will not jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or adversely modify or destroy its designated Critical Habitat. The designation of Critical Habitat does not affect private landowners, unless a project they are proposing is on federal lands, uses federal funds, or requires federal authorization or permits (e.g., funding from the Federal Highways Administration or a CWA Permit from the Corps). If there is a federal nexus, then the federal agency that is responsible for providing the funding or permit would consult with the USFWS.

The project site is not located with federally designated Critical Habitat (refer to Exhibit 6, *Critical Habitat*, in Attachment A). The nearest designated Critical Habitat is located approximately 5.9 miles southeast of the site for spreading navarretia (*Navarretia fossalis*) and 6.2 miles southeast for thread-leaved brodiaea (*Brodiaea filifolia*) along the San Jacinto River. Therefore, the loss or adverse modification of Critical Habitat will not occur as a result of the proposed project and consultation with the USFWS will not be required for implementation of the proposed project.

### Western Riverside County MSHCP

The project site is located within the Reche Canyon/Badlands Area Plan of the MSHCP, but is not located within any designated Criteria Cells (refer to Exhibit 7, *MSHCP Criteria Area*, in Attachment A). Additionally, the project site is only located within the designated survey area for burrowing owl as depicted

in Figures 6-4 within Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP.

- Amphibian Not in an amphibian survey area
- Burrowing Owls Burrowing Owl Survey Area
- Criteria Area Species Not in a criteria area species survey area
- Mammals Not in a mammal survey area
- Narrow Endemic Plants Not in a narrow endemic plant survey area

The City of Moreno Valley is a permittee under the MSHCP and, while the project is not specifically identified as a Covered Activity in the MSHCP, under Section 7.3.1, *Public and Private Development Consistent with MSHCP Criteria*, public and private development within the Criteria Area that is determined to be consistent with the Criteria is considered a Covered Activity. As such, to achieve coverage, the project must be consistent with the following policies of the MSHCP:

Since the City is a permittee under the MSHCP and, while the project is not specifically identified as a Covered Activity under Section 7.1 of the MSHCP, public and private development that are outside of Criteria Areas and Public/Quasi-Public (PQP) Lands are permitted under the MSHCP, subject to consistency with MSHCP policies that apply to area outside of Criteria Areas. As such, to achieve coverage, the project must be consistent with the following policies of the MSHCP:

- The policies for the protection of species associated with Riparian/Riverine areas and vernal pools as set forth in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP;
- The policies for the protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species as set forth in Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP;
- Guidelines pertaining to the Urban/Wildlands Interface intended to address indirect effects associated with locating Development in proximity to the MSHCP Conservation Area as detailed in Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP;
- The requirements for conducting additional surveys as set forth in Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP; and
- A Habitat Evaluation Acquisition Negotiation Strategy (HANS) as set forth in Section 6.1.1 of the MSHCP.

#### Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools

The MSHCP requires that an assessment be completed if impacts to riparian/riverine areas and vernal pools could occur from construction of the proposed project. According to the MSHCP, the documentation for the assessment shall include mapping and a description of the functions and values of the mapped areas with respect to the species listed in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP, *Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools*.

#### *Riparian/Riverine Areas*

As identified in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP, *Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools*, riparian/riverine areas are defined as areas dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergent plants, or emergent mosses and lichens which occur close to or are dependent upon nearby freshwater, or areas with freshwater flowing during all or a portion of the year. Conservation of these areas

is intended to protect habitat that is essential to a number of listed or special-status water-dependent fish, amphibian, avian, and plant species. If impacts to riparian/riverine habitat cannot be avoided, a Determination of Biologically Equivalent or Superior Preservation (DBESP) must be developed to address the replacement of lost functions of habitats in regard to the listed species. This assessment is independent from considerations given to “waters of the U.S.” and “waters of the State” under the CWA and the California Fish and Game Code.

No jurisdictional drainages, riparian/riverine and/or wetland features were observed within the project site during the field investigation. Development of the proposed project will not result in impacts to riparian/riverine habitats and a DBESP will not be required for the loss of riparian/riverine habitat from development of the proposed project.

### *Vernal Pools*

One of the factors for determining the suitability of the habitat for fairy shrimp would be demonstrable evidence of seasonal ponding in an area of topographic depression that is not subject to flowing waters. These astatic pools are typically characterized as vernal pools. More specifically, vernal pools are seasonal wetlands that occur in depression areas without a continual source of water. They have wetland indicators of all 3 parameters (soils, vegetation, and hydrology) during the wetter portion of the growing season but normally lack wetland indicators of hydrology and/or vegetation during the drier portion of the growing season. Obligate hydrophytes and facultative wetlands plant species are normally dominant during the wetter portion of the growing season. The determination that an area exhibits vernal pool characteristics and the definition of the watershed supporting vernal pool hydrology is made on a case-by-case basis. Such determinations should be considered the length of time the areas exhibit upland and wetland characteristics and the manner in which the area fits into the overall ecological system as a wetland. The seasonal hydrology of vernal pools provides for a unique environment, which supports plants and invertebrates specifically adapted to a regime of winter inundation, followed by an extended period when the pool soils are dry.

Vernal pools are seasonally inundated, ponded areas that only form in regions where specialized soil and climatic conditions exist. During fall and winter rains typical of Mediterranean climates, water collects in shallow depressions where downward percolation of water is prevented by the presence of a hard pan or clay pan layer (duripan) below the soil surface. Later in the spring when rains decrease and the weather warms, the water evaporates and the pools generally disappear by May. The shallow depressions remain relatively dry until late fall and early winter with the advent of greater precipitation and cooler temperatures. Vernal pools provide unusual "flood and drought" habitat conditions to which certain plant and wildlife species have specifically adapted as well as invertebrate species such as fairy shrimp.

The MSHCP lists two general classes of soils known to be associated with listed and special-status plant species; clay soils and Traver-Domino Willow association soils. The specific clay soils known to be associated with listed and special-status species within the MSHCP plan area include Bosanko, Auld, Altamont, and Porterville series soils, whereas Traver-Domino Willows association includes saline-alkali soils largely located along floodplain areas of the San Jacinto River and Salt Creek. Without the appropriate soils to create the impermeable restrictive layer, none of the special-status plant or wildlife species associated with vernal pools can occur on the project site. None of these soils have been documented within the project site.

A review of recent and historic aerial photographs (1985-2021) of the project site did not provide visual evidence of an astatic or vernal pool conditions within the project site. No ponding was observed, further supporting the fact that the drainage patterns currently occurring on the project site do not follow hydrologic regimes needed for vernal pools. From this review of historic aerial photographs and observations during the field investigations, it can be concluded that there is no indication of vernal pools or suitable fairy shrimp habitat occurring within the proposed project site. Therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP.

### *Fairy Shrimp Habitat*

#### Riverside fairy shrimp (*Streptocephalus woottoni*)

Riverside fairy shrimp are restricted to deep seasonal vernal pools, vernal pool like ephemeral ponds, and stock ponds and other human modified depressions. They prefer warm-water pools that have low to moderate dissolved solids, are less predictable, and remained filled for extended periods of time. Basins that support Riverside fairy shrimp are typically dry a portion of the year, but usually are filled by late fall, winter or spring rains, and may persist through May. Known habitat occur within annual grasslands, which may be interspersed through chaparral or coastal sage scrub vegetation. In Riverside County, Riverside fairy shrimp have been found in pools formed over the following soils: Murrieta stony clay loams, Las Posas series, Wyman clay loam, and Willows soils.

The project site is underlain by Greenfield sandy loam and Hanford coarse sandy loam. The aforementioned soils that Riverside fairy shrimp are typically associated with in Riverside County do not occur onsite. Soils on-site have been mechanically disturbed and heavily compacted from historic land uses (i.e., historic agricultural activities and surrounding development). Due to the lack of soils associated with Riverside fairy shrimp, onsite anthropogenic disturbances, and no indicators of water ponding or astatic water conditions, the site was determined not to provide suitable habitat for Riverside fairy shrimp.

#### Santa Rosa Plateau fairy shrimp (*Linderiella santarosae*)

Santa Rosa Plateau fairy shrimp are restricted to seasonal southern basalt flow vernal pools with cool clear to milky waters that are moderately predictable and remain filled for extended periods of time and are known only from vernal pool on the Santa Rosa Plateau. Since the project site is not located within the known area where Santa Rosa Plateau fairy shrimp have been documented, and no indicators of water ponding or astatic water conditions, the site was determined not to provide suitable habitat for Santa Rosa Plateau fairy shrimp.

#### Vernal pool fairy shrimp (*Branchinecta lynchi*)

Vernal pool fairy shrimp are restricted to seasonal vernal pools (vernal pools and alkali vernal pools) and prefer cool-water pools that have low to moderate dissolved solids, are unpredictable, and often short lived. The vernal pool fairy shrimp is known from four locations in Western Riverside County MSHCP Plan Area: Skunk Hollow, the Santa Rosa Plateau, Salt Creek, and the vicinity of the Pechanga Indian Reservation. Since the project site is not located within or adjacent to the four known populations, and no indicators of water ponding or astatic water conditions, the site was determined not to provide suitable habitat for vernal pool fairy shrimp.

### Narrow Endemic Plant Species

Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP, *Protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species*, states that the MSHCP database does not provide sufficient detail to determine the extent of the presence/distribution of Narrow Endemic Plant Species within the MSHCP Plan Area. Additional surveys may be needed to gather information to determine the presence/absence of these species to ensure that appropriate conservation of these species occurs. Based on the RCA MSHCP Information Map query and review of the MSHCP, it was determined that the project site is not located within the designated survey area for Narrow Endemic Plant Species. Through the field investigation, it was determined that the project site does not provide suitable habitat for any of the Narrow Endemic Plant Species listed under Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP, and, therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP. No additional surveys or analysis is required.

### Additional Survey Needs and Procedures

In accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP, *Additional Survey Needs and Procedures*, additional surveys may be needed for certain species in order to achieve coverage for these species. The query of the RCA MSHCP Information Map and review of the MSHCP determined that the project site is located within the designated survey area for burrowing owl as depicted in Figure 6-4 within Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP. No other special-status wildlife species surveys were identified.

### Burrowing Owl

Burrowing owl is currently designated as a California Species of Special Concern. The burrowing owl is a grassland specialist distributed throughout western North America where it occupies open areas with short vegetation and bare ground within shrub, desert, and grassland environments. Burrowing owls use a wide variety of arid and semi-arid environments with level to gently-sloping areas characterized by open vegetation and bare ground. The western burrowing owl (*A.c. hypugaea*), which occurs throughout the western United States including California, rarely digs its own burrows and is instead dependent upon the presence of burrowing mammals (i.e., California ground squirrels, coyotes, and badgers) whose burrows are often used for roosting and nesting. The presence or absence of colonial mammal burrows is often a major factor that limits the presence or absence of burrowing owls. Where mammal burrows are scarce, burrowing owls have been found occupying man-made cavities, such as buried and non-functioning drain pipes, stand-pipes, and dry culverts. They also require low growth or open vegetation allowing line-of-sight observation of the surrounding habitat to forage and watch for predators. In California, the burrowing owl breeding season extends from the beginning of February through the end of August.

Under the MSHCP burrowing owl is considered an adequately conserved covered species that may still require focused surveys in certain areas as designated in Figure 6-4 of the MSHCP. The project site occurs within the MSHCP burrowing owl survey area and a habitat assessment was conducted for the species to ensure compliance with MSHCP guidelines for the species. In accordance with the MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions (2006), survey protocol consists of two steps, Step I – Habitat Assessment and Step II – Locating Burrows and Burrowing Owls. The following section describes the methodology followed during the burrowing owl habitat assessment conducted for this project.

- Step I – Habitat Assessment: Step 1 of the MSHCP habitat assessment for burrowing owl consists of a walking survey to determine if suitable habitat is present onsite. The habitat assessment was conducted on October 7, 2021. Upon arrival at the project site, and prior to initiating the assessment

survey, binoculars were used to scan all suitable habitats on and adjacent to the property, including perch locations, to establish owl presence.

All suitable areas of the project site were surveyed on foot by walking slowly and methodically while recording/mapping areas that may represent suitable owl habitat onsite. Primary indicators of suitable burrowing owl habitat in western Riverside County include, but are not limited to, native and non-native grassland, interstitial grassland within shrub lands, shrub lands with low density shrub cover, golf courses, drainage ditches, earthen berms, unpaved airfields, pastureland, dairies, fallow fields, and agricultural use areas. Burrowing owls typically use burrows made by fossorial mammals, but they often utilize man-made structures, such as earthen berms, cement culverts, cement, asphalt, rock, wood debris piles, openings beneath cement or asphalt pavement. Burrowing owls are often found within, under, or in close proximity to man-made structures.

According to the MSHCP guidelines, if suitable habitat is present, the biologist should also walk the perimeter of the property, which consists of a 150-meter (approximately 500 feet) buffer zone around the project site boundary. If permission to access the buffer area cannot be obtained, the biologist shall not trespass, but visually inspect adjacent habitats with binoculars. In addition to surveying the entire Project Site all bordering natural habitats located immediately adjacent to the Project Site were assessed. Results from the habitat assessment indicate that suitable resources for burrowing owl are present throughout the Project Site. Accordingly, if suitable habitat is documented onsite or within adjacent habitats, both Step II, focused surveys and the 30-day preconstruction surveys are required in order to comply with the MSHCP guidelines.

- Step II – Locating Burrows and Burrowing Owls: Concurrent with the initial habitat assessment, a detailed focused burrow survey was conducted and included documentation of appropriately sized natural burrows or suitable man-made structures that may be utilized by burrowing owl - as part of the MSHCP protocol, which is described below under Part A, Focused Burrow Survey. The MSHCP protocol indicates that no more than 100 acres should be surveyed per day/per biologist.
  - Part A – Focused Burrow Survey: A systematic survey for burrows, including burrowing owl sign, was conducted by walking across all suitable habitats mapped within the project site on October 7, 2021. Pedestrian survey transects were spaced to allow 100% visual coverage of the ground surface. The distances between transect centerlines were no more than 30 meters (approximately 100 feet) apart, and owing to the terrain, often much smaller. Transect routes were also adjusted to account for topography and in general ground surface visibility (refer to Exhibit 8, *Survey Transects*, in Attachment A). Areas providing potential habitat for burrowing owls were surveyed for suitable burrows, consisting of natural and non-natural substrates in areas with low, open vegetation. All burrows encountered were examined for shape, scat, pellets, white-wash, feathers, tracks, and prey remains. Suitable burrows/sites, including rock piles and non-natural substrates, were thoroughly examined for signs of presence.

Despite a systematic search of the project site, no burrowing owls or sign (i.e., pellets, feathers, castings, or whitewash) were observed during the field investigation. Portions of the project site are vegetated with a variety of low-growing plant species that allow for minimal line-of-sight observation favored by

burrowing owls. Further, no small mammal burrows that have the potential to provide suitable burrowing owl nesting habitat (>4 inches in diameter) were observed within the boundaries of the site. Further, the project site does not provide suitable burrows/sites, including rock piles and non-natural substrates that could be used as burrow surrogates. Additionally, the site is surrounded by tall trees and poles that provide perching opportunities for large raptors (i.e., red-tailed hawk) that can prey on burrowing owls. Based on this information, and as a result of current and historic on-site disturbances, and surrounding development, it was determined that burrowing owls do not have potential to occur on-site and no focused surveys are recommended. Being that no appropriate burrows or burrowing owl habitat was found, Part B-Focused Burrowing Owl surveys were not required. Therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.3.2. However, out of an abundance of caution a pre-construction burrowing owl clearance survey shall be conducted prior to ground disturbing activities.

#### Urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines

Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP, *Guidelines Pertaining to Urban/Wildlands Interface*, is intended to address indirect effects associated with development in proximity to MSHCP Conservation Areas. The Urban/Wildlife Interface Guidelines are intended to ensure that indirect project-related impacts to the MSHCP Conservation Area, including drainage, toxics, lighting, noise, invasive plant species, barriers, and grading/land development, are avoided or minimized. The project site is not located within or immediately adjacent to any Criteria Cells, corridors, or linkages. The urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines do not apply to this project, and, therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP.

#### **Stephen's Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan**

Separate from the consistency review against the policies of the MSHCP, Riverside County established a boundary in 1996 for protecting the Stephens' kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys stephensi*), a federally endangered and state threatened species. The Stephens' kangaroo rat is protected under the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan (County Ordinance No. 663.10; SKR HCP). As described in the MSHCP Implementation Agreement, a Section 10(a) Permit, and California Fish and Game Code Section 2081 Management Authorization were issued to the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency (RCHCA) for the Long-Term SKR HCP and was approved by the USFWS and CDFW in August 1990 (RCHCA 1996). Relevant terms of the SKR HCP have been incorporated into the MSHCP and its Implementation Agreement. The SKR HCP will continue to be implemented as a separate HCP; however, to provide the greatest conservation for the largest number of Covered Species, the Core Reserves established by the SKR HCP are managed as part of the MSHCP Conservation Area consistent with the SKR HCP. Actions shall not be taken as part of the implementation of the SKR HCP that will significantly affect other Covered Species. Take of Stephens' kangaroo rat outside of the boundaries but within the MSHCP area is authorized under the MSHCP and the associated permits.

The project site is located within the Mitigation Fee Area of the SKR HCP. Therefore, the applicant will be required to pay the SKR HCP Mitigation Fee prior to development of the project site.

#### **Conclusion**

Based on the literature review and field survey, implementation of the project will have no significant impacts on federally, State, or MSHCP listed species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site. Additionally, the project will have no effect on designated Critical Habitat because none exists within

the area. No jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were observed on the project site during the field investigation. Additionally, the project site is not located within or adjacent to any criteria cell, and no riparian/riverine resources or vernal pools were found onsite. No further surveys are recommended.

With completion of the recommendations provided below and payment of the SKR HCP mitigation fee and MSHCP mitigation fee, development of the project site is fully consistent with the Western Riverside County MSHCP.

## **Recommendations**

### **Migratory Bird Treaty Act and Fish and Game Code Compliance**

Vegetation within and surrounding the project site has the potential to provide refuge cover from predators, perching sites and favorable conditions for avian nesting that could be impacted by construction activities associated with the project. Nesting birds are protected pursuant to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Fish and Game Code (Sections 3503, 3503.3, 3511, and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code prohibit the take, possession, or destruction of birds, their nests or eggs). In order to protect migratory bird species, a nesting bird clearance survey should be conducted prior to any ground disturbance or vegetation removal activities that may disrupt the birds during the nesting season. Consequently, if avian nesting behaviors are disrupted, such as nest abandonment and/or loss of reproductive effort, it is considered “take” and is potentially punishable by fines and/or imprisonment.

If construction occurs between February 1<sup>st</sup> and August 31<sup>st</sup>, a pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds should be conducted within three (3) days of the start of any vegetation removal or ground disturbing activities to ensure that no nesting birds will be disturbed during construction. The biologist conducting the clearance survey should document a negative survey with a brief letter report indicating that no impacts to active avian nests will occur. If an active avian nest is discovered during the pre-construction clearance survey, construction activities should stay outside of a no-disturbance buffer. The size of the no-disturbance buffer will be determined by the wildlife biologist and will depend on the level of noise and/or surrounding anthropogenic disturbances, line of sight between the nest and the construction activity, type and duration of construction activity, ambient noise, species habituation, and topographical barriers. These factors will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis when developing buffer distances. Limits of construction to avoid an active nest will be established in the field with flagging, fencing, or other appropriate barriers; and construction personnel will be instructed on the sensitivity of nest areas. A biological monitor should be present to delineate the boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely affected by the construction activity. Once the young have fledged and left the nest, or the nest otherwise becomes inactive under natural conditions, construction activities within the buffer area can occur.

### **Burrowing Owl Pre-Construction Clearance Survey**

A 30-day pre-construction burrowing owl survey shall be conducted prior to any ground disturbing activities to avoid direct take of burrowing owls, in accordance Objectives 6 of the Species Account for the Burrowing Owl included in the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

Please do not hesitate to contact Tom McGill at (951) 285-6014 or [tmcgill@elmtconsulting.com](mailto:tmcgill@elmtconsulting.com) or Travis McGill at (909) 816-1646 or [travismcgill@elmtconsulting.com](mailto:travismcgill@elmtconsulting.com) should you have any questions regarding

this proposal.

Sincerely,



Thomas J. McGill, Ph.D.  
Managing Director



Travis J. McGill  
Director


Attachments:

- A. *Project Exhibits*
- B. *Proposed Site Plan*
- C. *Site Photographs*
- D. *Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources*
- E. *Regulations*


## **Attachment A**

---


Project Exhibits



HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
 PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL  
**Regional Vicinity**




Source: World Street Map, Riverside County




**Legend**

Project Site

HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
 PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL  
**Site Vicinity**



Source: USA Topographic Map, Riverside County



**Legend**

Project Site

HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
 PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL  
**Project Site**



Source: ESRI Aerial Imagery, Riverside County



HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL

**Soils**



Source: ESRI Aerial Imagery, Soil Survey Geographic Database, Riverside County




HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
 PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL

**Vegetation**



Source: ESRI Aerial Imagery, Riverside County




HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL

**Critical Habitat**



Source: ESRI Aerial Imagery, USFWS Critical Habitat, Riverside County



HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MSHCP CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS  
 PERRIS AT PENTECOSTAL

**MSHCP Criteria Area**



Source: ESRI Aerial Imagery, Riverside County

## **Attachment B**

---

Site Plan



## **Attachment C**

---

Site Photographs



**Photograph 1:** From the southeast corner of the northeast portion of the project site looking north along the eastern boundary. The adjacent utility station is present on the right.



**Photograph 2:** From the southeast corner of the northeast portion of the project site looking west along the southern boundary. The adjacent Home Depot is present on the left.



**Photograph 3:** Looking west towards existing development in the northwest portion of the project site.



**Photograph 4:** From the middle of the eastern boundary of the project site looking southwest.



**Photograph 5:** From the southeast corner of the project site looking north along the eastern boundary.



**Photograph 6:** From the southeast corner of the project site looking west along the southern boundary.



**Photograph 7:** From the southwest corner of the project site looking east along the southern boundary.



**Photograph 8:** From the southwest corner of the project site looking north along the western boundary.



**Photograph 9:** From the northwest corner of the project site looking south along the western boundary.



**Photograph 10:** From the northwest corner of the project site looking east along the northern boundary.



**Photograph 11:** Looking south towards illegal dumping around the existing residence.

## **Attachment D**

---

Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources

Table D-1: Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<b>SPECIAL-STATUS WILDLIFE SPECIES</b>					
<i>Accipiter cooperii</i> Cooper's hawk	Fed: None CA: WL	Generally found in forested areas up to 3,000 feet in elevation, especially near edges and rivers. Prefers hardwood stands and mature forests but can be found in urban and suburban areas where there are tall trees for nesting. Common in open areas during nesting season.	Yes	No	<b>Moderate.</b> Suitable foraging habitat is present on-site. This species is adapted to urban environments and occurs commonly. The project site does not provide suitable nesting opportunities.
<i>Accipiter striatus</i> sharp-shinned hawk	Fed: None CA: WL	Found in pine, fir and aspen forests. They can be found hunting in forest interior and edges from sea level to near alpine areas. Can also be found in rural, suburban and agricultural areas, where they often hunt at bird feeders. Typically found in southern California in the winter months.	Yes	No	<b>Moderate.</b> Suitable foraging habitat is present on-site. This species does not nest in southern California. This species is adapted to urban environments and occurs commonly.
<i>Agelaius tricolor</i> tricolored blackbird	Fed: None CA: <b>THR</b>	Range is limited to the coastal areas of the Pacific coast of North America, from Northern California to upper Baja California. Can be found in a wide variety of habitat including annual grasslands, wet and dry vernal pools and other seasonal wetlands, agricultural fields, cattle feedlots, and dairies. Occasionally forage in riparian scrub habitats along marsh borders. Basic habitat requirements for breeding include open accessible water, protected nesting substrate (freshwater marsh dominated by cattails, willows, and bulrushes [ <i>Schoenoplectus</i> sp.]), and either flooded or thorny or spiny vegetation and suitable foraging space providing adequate insect prey.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Aimophila ruficeps canescens</i> southern California rufous-crowned sparrow	Fed: None CA: WL	Typically found between 3,000 and 6,000 feet in elevation. Breed in sparsely vegetated scrubland on hillsides and canyons. Prefers coastal sage scrub dominated by California sagebrush ( <i>Artemisia californica</i> ), but they can also be found breeding in coastal bluff scrub, low-growing serpentine chaparral, and along the edges of tall chaparral habitats.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

<i>Scientific Name</i> Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i> grasshopper sparrow	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in grassland, upland meadow, pasture, hayfield, and old field habitats. Optimal habitat contains short- to medium-height bunch grasses interspersed with patches of bare ground, a shallow litter layer, scattered forbs, and few shrubs. May inhabit thickets, weedy lawns, vegetated landfills, fence rows, open fields, or grasslands.	Yes (e)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Anniella stebbinsi</i> southern California legless lizard	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in sparsely vegetated habitat types including coastal sand dunes, chaparral, pine-oak woodland, desert scrub, open grassland, and riparian areas. Requires sandy or loose loamy substrates conducive to burrowing.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> golden eagle	Fed: None CA: FP; WL	Occupies nearly all terrestrial habitats of the western states except densely forested areas. Favors secluded cliffs with overhanging ledges and large trees for nesting and cover. Hilly or mountainous country where takeoff and soaring are supported by updrafts is generally preferred to flat habitats. Deeply cut canyons rising to open mountain slopes and crags are ideal habitat.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Ardea alba</i> great egret	Fed: None CA: None	Yearlong resident throughout California, except for the high mountains and deserts. Feeds and rests in fresh, and saline emergent wetlands, along the margins of estuaries, lakes, and slow-moving streams, on mudflats and salt ponds, and in irrigated croplands and pastures.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Ardea herodias</i> great blue heron	Fed: None CA: None	Forages along streams, marshes, lakes, and meadows. Nests colonially in tall trees (typically Eucalyptus sp.), on cliffsides, or in isolated spots in marshes.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Artemisospiza belli belli</i> Bell's sparrow	Fed: None CA: WL	Generally prefers semi-open habitats with evenly spaced shrubs 1 – 2 meters in height. Dry chaparral and coastal sage scrub. Less common in tall dense, old chaparral.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Asio flammeus</i> short-eared owl	Fed: None CA: SSC	Suitable habitats include salt- and freshwater marshes, irrigated alfalfa or grain fields, and ungrazed grasslands and old pastures. Tule marsh or tall grasslands with cover 30 to 50 cm in height can support nesting pairs.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Asio otus</i> long-eared owl	Fed: None CA: SSC	Hunts mostly at night over grasslands and other open habitats. Nesting occurs in dense trees such as oaks and willows where it occupies stick nests of other species, particularly raptors or corvids.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

<i>Scientific Name</i> Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Aspidoscelis hyperythra</i> orangethroat whiptail	Fed: None CA: WL	Semi-arid brushy areas typically with loose soil and rocks, including washes, streamsides, rocky hillsides, and coastal chaparral.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Aspidoscelis tigris stejnegeri</i> coastal whiptail	Fed: None CA: SCC	Found in a variety of ecosystems, primarily hot and dry open areas with sparse foliage - chaparral, woodland, and riparian areas.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Athene cunicularia</i> burrowing owl	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in open, annual or perennial grasslands, deserts, and scrublands characterized by low-growing vegetation. Dependent upon fossorial mammals for burrows, most notable ground squirrels.	Yes (c)	No	<b>Low.</b> Although heavily disturbed, the site provides line-of-sight opportunities favored by burrowing owls. However, the site is routinely disturbed and does not provide suitable habitat.
<i>Aythya valisineria</i> canvasback	Fed: None CA: None	Breeds in small lakes, deep-water marshes, bays, and ponds. Occurs more commonly in waters with a border of dense vegetation, which they use to construct their nests.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Buteo regalis</i> ferruginous hawk	Fed: None CA: WL	Occurs primarily in open grasslands and fields, but may be found in sagebrush flats, desert scrub, low foothills, or along the edges of pinyon-juniper woodland. Feeds primarily on small mammals and typically found in agricultural or open fields.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Buteo swainsoni</i> Swainson's hawk	Fed: None CA: THR	Typical habitat is open desert, grassland, or cropland containing scattered, large trees or small groves. Breeds in stands with few trees in juniper-sage flats, riparian areas, and in oak savannah in the Central Valley. Forages in adjacent grassland or suitable grain or alfalfa fields or livestock pastures.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Calypte costae</i> Costa's hummingbird	Fed: None CA: None	Desert and semi-desert, arid brushy foothills and chaparral. A desert hummingbird that breeds in the Sonoran and Mojave Deserts. Departs desert heat moving into chaparral, scrub, and woodland habitats.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Chaetodipus fallax fallax</i> northwestern San Diego pocket mouse	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in desert and coastal habitats in southern California, Mexico, and northern Baja California, from sea level to at least 1,400 meters. Found in a variety of temperate habitats ranging from chaparral and grasslands to scrub forests and deserts. Requires low growing vegetation or rocky outcroppings, as well as sandy soils for burrowing.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Chaetura vauxi</i> Vaux's swift	Fed: None CA: SSC	Prefers redwood and Douglas-fir habitats with nest-sites in large hollow trees and snags, especially tall, burned-out snags. Fairly common migrant throughout most of the state in April and May, and August and September.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Circus hudsonius</i> northern harrier	Fed: None CA: SSC	Frequents meadows, grasslands, open rangelands, desert sinks, fresh and saltwater emergent wetlands; seldom found in wooded areas. Mostly found in flat, or hummocky, open areas of tall, dense grasses moist or dry shrubs, and edges for nesting, cover, and feeding.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Coccyzus americanus occidentalis</i> western yellow-billed cuckoo	Fed: <b>THR</b> CA: <b>END</b>	Obligate riparian species with a primary habitat association of willow-cottonwood riparian forest. Nests are typically placed (72% of the time) in willows ( <i>Salix</i> spp.), particularly in black willow ( <i>S. gooddingii</i> ), red willow ( <i>S. laevigata</i> ), and sandbar willow ( <i>S. exigua</i> ). This species typically requires large blocks of intact riparian habitat, with anything less than 37 acres in size and 328 feet wide generally considered unsuitable. Breeding season home ranges can be as much as 100 acres per individual bird. Yellow-billed cuckoos are considered rare anywhere in southern California outside of the Colorado River.	Yes (a)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Coleonyx variegatus abbotti</i> San Diego banded gecko	Fed: None CA: SCC	Occurs in coastal and cismontane southern California from interior Ventura County south, although it is absent from the extreme outer coast. It is uncommon in coastal scrub and chaparral, most often occurring in granite or rocky outcrops in these habitats.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Crotalus ruber</i> red-diamond rattlesnake	Fed: None CA: SSC	It can be found from the desert, through dense chaparral in the foothills (it avoids the mountains above around 4,000 feet), to warm inland mesas and valleys, all the way to the cool ocean shore. It is most commonly associated with heavy brush with large rocks or boulders. Dense chaparral in the foothills, cactus or boulder associated coastal sage scrub, oak and pine woodlands, and desert slope scrub associations are known to carry populations of the northern red-diamond rattlesnake; however, chamise and red shank associations may offer better structural habitat for refuges and food resources for this species than other habitats.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Diadophis punctatus modestus</i> San Bernardino ringneck snake	Fed: None CA: None	Common in open, relatively rocky areas within valley-foothill, mixed chaparral, and annual grass habitats.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Dipodomys merriami parvus</i> San Bernardino kangaroo rat	Fed: <b>END</b> CA: CE	Primarily found in Riversidian alluvial fan sage scrub and sandy loam soils, alluvial fans and flood plains, and along washes with nearby sage scrub. May occur at lower densities in Riversidian upland sage scrub, chaparral and grassland in uplands and tributaries in proximity to Riversidian alluvial fan sage scrub habitats. Tend to avoid rocky substrates and prefer sandy loam substrates for digging of shallow burrows.	Yes (c)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Dipodomys simulans</i> Dulzura kangaroo rat	Fed: None CA: None	Typical habitat is open desert, grassland, or cropland containing scattered, large trees or small groves. Breeds in stands with few trees in juniper-sage flats, riparian areas, and in oak savannah in the Central Valley. Forages in adjacent grassland or suitable grain or alfalfa fields or livestock pastures.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Dipodomys stephensi</i> Stephens' kangaroo rat	Fed: <b>END</b> CA: <b>THR</b>	Occur in arid and semi-arid habitats with some grass or brush. Prefer open habitats with less than 50% protective cover. Require soft, well-drained substrate for building burrows and are typically found in areas with sandy soil.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Egretta thula</i> snowy egret	Fed: None CA: None	Widespread in California along shores of coastal estuaries, fresh and saline emergent wetlands, ponds, slow-moving rivers, irrigation ditches, and wet fields. In southern California, common yearlong in the Imperial Valley and along the Colorado River.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Elanus leucurus</i> white-tailed kite	Fed: None CA: FP	Occurs in low elevation, open grasslands, savannah-like habitats, agricultural areas, wetlands, and oak woodlands. Uses trees with dense canopies for cover.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Empidonax traillii</i> willow flycatcher	Fed: None CA: <b>END</b>	A rare to locally uncommon, summer resident in wet meadow and montane riparian habitats (2,000 to 8,000 ft) in the Sierra Nevada and Cascade Range. Most often occurs in broad, open river valleys or large mountain meadows with lush growth of shrubby willows.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Empidonax traillii extimus</i> southwestern willow flycatcher	Fed: <b>END</b> CA: <b>END</b>	Occurs in riparian woodlands in southern California. Typically requires large areas of willow thickets in broad valleys, canyon bottoms, or around ponds and lakes. These areas typically have standing or running water, or are at least moist.	Yes (a)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Emys marmorata</i> western pond turtle	Fed: None CA: SSC	Found in ponds, lakes, rivers, streams, creeks, marshes, and irrigation ditches, with abundant vegetation, either rocky or muddy bottoms, in woodland, forest, and grassland. In streams, prefers pools to shallower areas. Logs, rocks, cattail mats, and exposed banks are required for basking. May enter brackish water and even seawater. Found at elevations from sea level to over 5,900 feet (1,800 m).	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Eremophila alpestris actia</i> California horned lark	Fed: None CA: WL	Generally found in shortgrass prairies, grasslands, disturbed fields, or similar habitat types along the coast or in deserts. Trees are shrubs are usually scarce or absent. Generally rare in montane, coniferous, or chaparral habitats. Forms large flocks outside of the breeding season.	Yes	No	<b>Moderate.</b> Suitable foraging habitat is present on-site. Minimal nesting habitat.
<i>Eumops perotis californicus</i> western mastiff bat	Fed: None CA: SSC	Primarily a cliff-dwelling species, roost generally under exfoliating rock slabs. Roosts are generally high above the ground, usually allowing a clear vertical drop of at least 3 meters below the entrance for flight. In California, it is most frequently encountered in broad open areas. Its foraging habitat includes dry desert washes, flood plains, chaparral, oak woodland, open ponderosa pine forest, grassland, and agricultural areas.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Falco columbarius</i> merlin	Fed: None CA: WL	Nest in forested openings, edges, and along rivers across northern North America. Found in open forests, grasslands, and especially coastal areas with flocks of small songbirds or shorebirds.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Falco mexicanus</i> prairie falcon	Fed: None CA: WL	Commonly occur in arid and semiarid shrubland and grassland community types. Also occasionally found in open parklands within coniferous forests. During the breeding season, they are found commonly in foothills and mountains which provide cliffs and escarpments suitable for nest sites.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i> American peregrine falcon	Fed: DL CA: DL; FP	Uncommon winter resident of the inland region of southern California. Active nesting sites are known along the coast north of Santa Barbara, in the Sierra Nevada, and in other mountains of northern California. Breeds mostly in woodland, forest, and coastal habitats. Riparian areas and coastal and inland wetlands are important habitats yearlong, especially in nonbreeding seasons.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> bald eagle	Fed: DL CA: END; FP	Occur primarily at or near seacoasts, rivers, swamps, and large lakes. Need ample foraging opportunities, typically near a large water source.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Hydroprogne caspia</i> Caspian tern	Fed: None CA: None	Occurs near large lakes, coastal waters, beaches, and bays. Found on both fresh and salt water, favoring protected waters such as bays and lagoons, rivers, not usually foraging over open sea. Nests on open ground on islands, coasts.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Icteria virens</i> yellow-breasted chat	Fed: None CA: SSC	Primarily found in tall, dense, relatively wide riparian woodlands and thickets of willows, vine tangles, and dense brush with well-developed understories. Nesting areas are associated with streams, swampy ground, and the borders of small ponds. Breeding habitat must be dense to provide shade and concealment. It winters south the Central America.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i> loggerhead shrike	Fed: None CA: SSC	Often found in broken woodlands, shrublands, and other habitats. Prefers open country with scattered perches for hunting and fairly dense brush for nesting.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Larus californicus</i> California gull	Fed: None CA: WL	Require isolated islands in rivers, reservoirs and natural lakes for nesting, where predations pressures from terrestrial mammals are diminished. Uses both fresh and saline aquatic habitats at variable elevations and degrees of aridity for nesting and for opportunistic foraging.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Lasiurus xanthinus</i> western yellow bat	Fed: None CA: SSC	Roosts in palm trees in foothill riparian, desert wash, and palm oasis habitats with access to water for foraging.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Lepus californicus bennettii</i> San Diego black-tailed jackrabbit	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in diverse habitats, but primarily is found in arid regions supporting shortgrass habitats. Openness of open scrub habitat is preferred over dense chaparral.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Lynx rufus pallescens</i> pallid bobcat	Fed: None CA: None	Found on the western edge of the great basin habitat in extreme northeast California. Live in a variety of habitats including forests, deserts, mountains, swamps and farmland.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Myotis ciliolabrum</i> western small-footed myotis	Fed: None CA: None	Occurs in a wide range of habitats, mostly arid wooded and brushy uplands near water. Prefers open stands in forests and woodlands. Roosts in caves, buildings, mines, and crevices.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Myotis yumanensis</i> Yuma myotis	Fed: None CA: None	Found in forests and woodlands near water. Roosts in caves, buildings, mines, and crevices.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Neotoma lepida intermedia</i> San Diego desert woodrat	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in coastal scrub communities between San Luis Obispo and San Diego Counties. Prefers moderate to dense canopies, and especially rocky outcrops.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Numenius americanus</i> long-billed curlew	Fed: None CA: WL	Preferred winter habitats include large coastal estuaries, upland herbaceous areas, and croplands. On estuaries, feeding occurs mostly on intertidal mudflats.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i> black-crowned night heron	Fed: None CA: None	Fairly common, yearlong resident in lowlands and foothills throughout most of California, including the Salton Sea and Colorado River areas, and very common locally in large nesting colonies. Feeds along the margins of lacustrine, large riverine, and fresh and saline emergent habitats and rarely, on kelp beds in marine sub tidal habitats. Nests and roosts in dense-foliaged trees and dense emergent wetlands.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Nyctinomops femorosaccus</i> pocketed free-tailed bat	Fed: None CA: SSC	Often found in pinyon-juniper woodlands, desert scrub, desert succulent shrub, desert riparian, desert wash, alkali desert scrub, Joshua tree, and palm oasis.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Pandion haliaetus</i> osprey	Fed: None CA: WL	Remain close to still or slow-moving bodies of water including oceans, rivers, lakes, mangroves, coastal wetlands, lagoons, reefs, estuaries and marshes. Generally nest in high places, such as trees, power poles, or cliffs.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i> American white pelican	Fed: None CA: SSC	Locally common winter resident of southern California. Typically forage in shallow inland waters, such as open areas in marshes and along lake or river edges. Also occur in shallow coastal marine habitats.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Perognathus longimembris brevinasus</i> Los Angeles pocket mouse	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in lower elevation grasslands and coastal sage scrub communities in and around the Los Angeles Basin. Prefers open ground with fine sandy soils. May not dig extensive burrows, but instead will seek refuge under weeds and dead leaves instead.	Yes (c)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i> double-crested cormorant	Fed: None CA: WL	Common yearlong resident in southern California. Occurs widely in freshwater and marine habitats along coastlines. Require open water where they can forage for schooling fish.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Phrynosoma blainvillii</i> coast horned lizard	Fed: None CA: SSC	Occurs in a wide variety of vegetation types including coastal sage scrub, annual grassland, chaparral, oak woodland, riparian woodland and coniferous forest. In inland areas, this species is restricted to areas with pockets of open microhabitat, created by disturbance (i.e. fire, floods, roads, grazing, fire breaks). The key elements of such habitats are loose, fine soils with a high sand fraction; an abundance of native ants or other insects; and open areas with limited overstory for basking and low, but relatively dense shrubs for refuge.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Plegadis chihi</i> white-faced ibis	Fed: None CA: WL	Prefers to feed in fresh emergent wetland, shallow lacustrine waters, muddy ground of wet meadows, and irrigated or flooded pastures and croplands. Nests in dense, fresh emergent wetland.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Poliophtila californica californica</i> coastal California gnatcatcher	Fed: THR CA: SSC	Obligate resident of sage scrub habitats that are dominated by California sagebrush ( <i>Artemisia californica</i> ). This species generally occurs below 750 feet elevation in coastal regions and below 1,500 feet inland. Ranges from the Ventura County, south to San Diego County and northern Baja California and it is less common in sage scrub with a high percentage of tall shrubs. Prefers habitat with more low-growing vegetation.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Salvadora hexalepis virgultea</i> coast patch-nosed snake	Fed: None CA: SSC	Found in brushy or shrubby vegetation along the coast and requires small mammal burrows for refuge and overwintering.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Setophaga petechia</i> yellow warbler	Fed: None CA: SSC	Nests over all of California except the Central Valley, the Mojave Desert region, and high altitudes and the eastern side of the Sierra Nevada. Winters along the Colorado River and in parts of Imperial and Riverside Counties. Nests in riparian areas dominated by willows, cottonwoods, sycamores, or alders or in mature chaparral. May also use oaks, conifers, and urban areas near stream courses.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

<i>Scientific Name</i> Common Name	Status	Habitat	Covered by MSHCP	Observed On-site	Potential to Occur
<i>Spea hammondii</i> western spadefoot	Fed: None CA: SSC	Prefers open areas with sandy or gravelly soils, in a variety of habitats including mixed woodlands, grasslands, coastal sage scrub, chaparral, sandy washed, lowlands, river floodplains, alluvial fans, playas, alkali flats, foothills, and mountains. Rainpools which do not contain bullfrogs, fish, or crayfish are necessary for breeding.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Sphyrapicus ruber</i> red-breasted sapsucker	Fed: None CA: None	An uncommon to fairly common, yearlong or summer resident in openly wooded, mountainous parts of California. In southern California, an uncommon summer resident locally in the higher mountains. Preferred nesting habitats include montane riparian, aspen, montane hardwood-conifer, mixed conifer, and red fir, especially near meadows, clearings, lakes, and slow-moving streams.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Spinus lawrencei</i> Lawrence's goldfinch	Fed: None CA: None	Open woodlands, chaparral, and weedy fields. Closely associated with oaks. Nests in open oak or other arid woodland and chaparral near water.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Taxidea taxus</i> American badger	Fed: None CA: SSC	Primarily occupy grasslands, parklands, farms, tallgrass and shortgrass prairies, meadows, shrub-steppe communities and other treeless areas with sandy loam soils where it can dig more easily for its prey. Occasionally found in open chaparral (with less than 50% plant cover) and riparian zones.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Vireo bellii pusillus</i> least Bell's vireo	Fed: <b>END</b> CA: <b>END</b>	Primarily occupy Riverine riparian habitat that typically feature dense cover within 1 -2 meters of the ground and a dense, stratified canopy. Typically it is associated with southern willow scrub, cottonwood-willow forest, mule fat scrub, sycamore alluvial woodlands, coast live oak riparian forest, arroyo willow riparian forest, or mesquite in desert localities. It uses habitat which is limited to the immediate vicinity of water courses, 2,000 feet elevation in the interior.	Yes (a)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus</i> yellow-headed blackbird	Fed: None CA: SSC	Uncommon yearlong resident of southern California throughout freshwater emergent wetlands, and moist, open areas along agricultural areas, and mudflats of lacustrine habitats. Prefers to nest in dense wetland vegetation characterized by cattails, tules, or other similar plant species along the border of lakes and ponds.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

SPECIAL-STATUS PLANT SPECIES					
<i>Abronia villosa</i> var. <i>aurita</i> chaparral sand-verbena	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 1B.1	Grows in sandy soils in coastal sage scrub and in chaparral habitats. Grows in elevation from 262 to 5,249 feet. Blooming period ranges from January to September.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Artemisia palmeri</i> San Diego sagewort	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.2	Found in sandy and mesic soils within chaparral, coastal scrub, riparian forest, riparian scrub, and riparian woodland. Found at elevations ranging from 49 to 3,002 feet. Blooming period is from February to September.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Calochortus plummerae</i> Plummer's mariposa-lily	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.2	Prefers openings in chaparral, foothill woodland, coastal sage scrub, valley foothill grasslands, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest and yellow pine forest. Often found on dry, rocky slopes and soils and brushy areas. Can be very common after a fire. Found at elevations ranging from 459 to 6,299 feet. Blooming period is from May to July.	Yes (e)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Caulanthus simulans</i> Payson's jewelflower	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.2	Occurs on granitic sandy soils in chaparral and coastal scrub habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 295 to 7,218 feet. Blooming period is from February to June.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Centromadia pungens</i> ssp. <i>laevis</i> smooth tarplant	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 1B.1	Found in alkaline soils within chenopod scrub, meadows and seeps, playas, riparian woodland, valley and foothill grassland habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 0 to 2,100 feet. Blooming period is from April to September.	Yes (d)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Chorizanthe leptotheca</i> Peninsular spineflower	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.2	Found in granitic soils within chaparral, coast scrub, and lower montane coniferous forest habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 984 to 6,234 feet. Blooming period is from May to August.	Yes (e)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Chorizanthe parryi</i> var. <i>parryi</i> Parry's spineflower	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 1B.1	Occurs on sandy and/or rocky soils in chaparral, coastal sage scrub, and sandy openings within alluvial washes and margins. Found at elevations ranging from 951 to 3,773 feet. Blooming period is from April to June.	Yes (e)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Chorizanthe xanti</i> var. <i>leucotheca</i> white-bracted spineflower	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 1B.2	Grows on sandy or gravelly soils within coastal scrub (alluvial fans), Mojavean desert scrub, pinyon and juniper woodland habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 984 to 3,937 feet. Blooming period is from April to June.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Deinandra paniculata</i> paniculate tarplant	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.2	Typically found in vernal mesic, sometimes sandy soils in coastal scrub, valley and foothill grasslands, and vernal pools. Found at elevations ranging from 82 to 3,084 feet. Blooming period is from April to November.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.

<i>Juglans californica</i> southern California black walnut	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.2	Occurs in alluvial soils in chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, and riparian woodlands. From 15 to 5,875 feet in elevation. Blooming period is from May to June.	Yes	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Lasthenia glabrata ssp. coulteri</i> Coulter's goldfields	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 1B.1	Prefers playas, vernal pools, and coastal salt marshes and swamps. Found at elevations ranging from 3 to 4,003 feet. Blooming period is from February to June.	Yes (d)	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Lepidium virginicum var. robinsonii</i> Robinson's pepper-grass	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 4.3	Dry soils on chaparral and coastal sage scrub. Found at elevations ranging from 3 to 2,904 feet. Blooming period is from January to July.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<i>Symphytotrichum defoliatum</i> San Bernardino aster	Fed: None CA: None CNPS: 3	Grows in grasslands and disturbed areas in the San Gabriel and San Bernardino Mountains and Peninsular Range. Occurs in vernal wet sites including ditches, streams, and springs in many plant communities including meadows and seeps, marshes and swamps, coastal scrub, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous woodland, and grassland. Found at elevations ranging from 7 to 6,693 feet. Blooming period is from July to November.	No	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present within or adjacent to the project site.
<b>CDFW SENSITIVE HABITATS</b>					
Southern Sycamore Alder Riparian Woodland	CDFW Sensitive Habitat	Occurs below 2,000 meters in elevation, sycamore and alder often occur along seasonally-flooded banks; cottonwoods and willows are also often present. Poison oak, mugwort, elderberry and wild raspberry may be present in understory.	NA	No	<b>Absent</b>

**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Fed) - Federal**  
END- Federal Endangered  
THR- Federal Threatened

**California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CA) - California**  
END- California Endangered  
THR- California Threatened  
Candidate- Candidate for listing under the California Endangered Species Act  
FP- California Fully Protected  
SSC- Species of Special Concern  
WL- Watch List

**California Native Plant Society (CNPS) California Rare Plant Rank**  
1B Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California and Elsewhere  
2B Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California, But More Common Elsewhere  
3 Plants About Which More Information is Needed – A Review List  
4 Plants of Limited Distribution – A Watch List

**CNPS Threat Ranks**  
0.1- Seriously threatened in California  
0.2- Moderately threatened in California  
0.3- Not very threatened in California

**Western Riverside County MSHCP**  
Yes- Fully covered  
No- Not covered  
Yes (a)- May require surveys under MSHCP Section 6.1.2  
Yes (b)- May require surveys under MSHCP Section 6.1.3  
Yes (c)- May require surveys under MSHCP Section 6.3.2  
Yes (d)- May require surveys under MSHCP Section 6.3.2  
Yes (e)- Conditionally covered pending the achievement of species-specific conservation measures

## **Attachment E**

---

Regulations

*Special status species are native species that have been afforded special legal or management protection because of concern for their continued existence. There are several categories of protection at both federal and state levels, depending on the magnitude of threat to continued existence and existing knowledge of population levels.*

## **Federal Regulations**

### ***Endangered Species Act of 1973***

Federally listed threatened and endangered species and their habitats are protected under provisions of the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA). Section 9 of the ESA prohibits “take” of threatened or endangered species. “Take” under the ESA is defined as to “harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any of the specifically enumerated conduct.” The presence of any federally threatened or endangered species that are in a project area generally imposes severe constraints on development, particularly if development would result in “take” of the species or its habitat. Under the regulations of the ESA, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) may authorize “take” when it is incidental to, but not the purpose of, an otherwise lawful act.

Critical Habitat is designated for the survival and recovery of species listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA. Critical Habitat includes those areas occupied by the species, in which are found physical and biological features that are essential to the conservation of an ESA listed species and which may require special management considerations or protection. Critical Habitat may also include unoccupied habitat if it is determined that the unoccupied habitat is essential for the conservation of the species.

Whenever federal agencies authorize, fund, or carry out actions that may adversely modify or destroy Critical Habitat, they must consult with USFWS under Section 7 of the ESA. The designation of Critical Habitat does not affect private landowners, unless a project they are proposing uses federal funds, or requires federal authorization or permits (e.g., funding from the Federal Highway Administration or a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps)).

If USFWS determines that Critical Habitat will be adversely modified or destroyed from a proposed action, the USFWS will develop reasonable and prudent alternatives in cooperation with the federal institution to ensure the purpose of the proposed action can be achieved without loss of Critical Habitat. If the action is not likely to adversely modify or destroy Critical Habitat, USFWS will include a statement in its biological opinion concerning any incidental take that may be authorized and specify terms and conditions to ensure the agency is in compliance with the opinion.

### ***Migratory Bird Treaty Act***

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 U.S. Government Code [USC] 703) makes it unlawful to pursue, capture, kill, possess, or attempt to do the same to any migratory bird or part, nest, or egg of any such bird listed in wildlife protection treaties between the United States, Great Britain, Mexico, Japan, and the countries of the former Soviet Union, and authorizes the U.S. Secretary of the Interior to protect and regulate the taking of migratory birds. It establishes seasons and bag limits for hunted species and protects migratory birds, their occupied nests, and their eggs (16 USC 703; 50 CFR 10, 21).

The MBTA covers the taking of any nests or eggs of migratory birds, except as allowed by permit pursuant to 50 CFR, Part 21. Disturbances causing nest abandonment and/or loss of reproductive effort (i.e., killing or abandonment of eggs or young) may also be considered “take.” This regulation seeks to protect migratory birds and active nests.

In 1972, the MBTA was amended to include protection for migratory birds of prey (e.g., raptors). Six families of raptors occurring in North America were included in the amendment: Accipitridae (kites, hawks, and eagles); Cathartidae (New World vultures); Falconidae (falcons and caracaras); Pandionidae (ospreys); Strigidae (typical owls); and Tytonidae (barn owls). The provisions of the 1972 amendment to the MBTA protects all species and subspecies of the families listed above. The MBTA protects over 800 species including geese, ducks, shorebirds, raptors, songbirds and many relatively common species.

## **State Regulations**

### ***California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)***

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides for the protection of the environment within the State of California by establishing State policy to prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures for projects. It applies to actions directly undertaken, financed, or permitted by State lead agencies. If a project is determined to be subject to CEQA, the lead agency will be required to conduct an Initial Study (IS); if the IS determines that the project may have significant impacts on the environment, the lead agency will subsequently be required to write an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). A finding of non-significant effects will require either a Negative Declaration or a Mitigated Negative Declaration instead of an EIR. Section 15380 of the CEQA Guidelines independently defines “endangered” and “rare” species separately from the definitions of the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Under CEQA, “endangered” species of plants or animals are defined as those whose survival and reproduction in the wild are in immediate jeopardy, while “rare” species are defined as those who are in such low numbers that they could become endangered if their environment worsens.

### ***California Endangered Species Act (CESA)***

In addition to federal laws, the state of California implements the CESA which is enforced by CDFW. The CESA program maintains a separate listing of species beyond the FESA, although the provisions of each act are similar.

State-listed threatened and endangered species are protected under provisions of the CESA. Activities that may result in “take” of individuals (defined in CESA as; “hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill”) are regulated by CDFW. Habitat degradation or modification is not included in the definition of “take” under CESA. Nonetheless, CDFW has interpreted “take” to include the destruction of nesting, denning, or foraging habitat necessary to maintain a viable breeding population of protected species.

The State of California considers an endangered species as one whose prospects of survival and reproduction are in immediate jeopardy. A threatened species is considered as one present in such small numbers throughout its range that it is likely to become an endangered species in the near future in the

absence of special protection or management. A rare species is one that is considered present in such small numbers throughout its range that it may become endangered if its present environment worsens. State threatened and endangered species are fully protected against take, as defined above.

The CDFW has also produced a species of special concern list to serve as a species watch list. Species on this list are either of limited distribution or their habitats have been reduced substantially, such that a threat to their populations may be imminent. Species of special concern may receive special attention during environmental review, but they do not have formal statutory protection. At the federal level, USFWS also uses the label species of concern, as an informal term that refers to species which might be in need of concentrated conservation actions. As the Species of Concern designated by USFWS do not receive formal legal protection, the use of the term does not necessarily ensure that the species will be proposed for listing as a threatened or endangered species.

### ***Fish and Game Code***

Fish and Game Code Sections 3503, 3503.5, 3511, and 3513 are applicable to natural resource management. For example, Section 3503 of the Code makes it unlawful to destroy any birds' nest or any birds' eggs that are protected under the MBTA. Further, any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (Birds of Prey, such as hawks, eagles, and owls) are protected under Section 3503.5 of the Fish and Game Code which makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy their nest or eggs. A consultation with CDFW may be required prior to the removal of any bird of prey nest that may occur on a project site. Section 3511 of the Fish and Game Code lists fully protected bird species, where the CDFW is unable to authorize the issuance of permits or licenses to take these species. Pertinent species that are State fully protected by the State include golden eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) and white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*). Section 3513 of the Fish and Game Code makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird as designated in the MBTA or any part of such migratory nongame bird except as provided by rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the MBTA.

### ***Native Plant Protection Act***

Sections 1900–1913 of the Fish and Game Code were developed to preserve, protect, and enhance Rare and Endangered plants in the state of California. The act requires all state agencies to use their authority to carry out programs to conserve Endangered and Rare native plants. Provisions of the Native Plant Protection Act prohibit the taking of listed plants from the wild and require notification of the CDFW at least ten days in advance of any change in land use which would adversely impact listed plants. This allows the CDFW to salvage listed plant species that would otherwise be destroyed.

### ***California Native Plant Society Rare and Endangered Plant Species***

Vascular plants listed as rare or endangered by the CNPS, but which have no designated status under FESA or CESA are defined as follows:

#### California Rare Plant Rank

- 1A- Plants Presumed Extirpated in California and either Rare or Extinct Elsewhere
- 1B- Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California and Elsewhere

- 2A- Plants Presumed Extirpated in California, But More Common Elsewhere
- 2B- Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California, But More Common Elsewhere
- 3- Plants about Which More Information is Needed - A Review List
- 4- Plants of Limited Distribution - A Watch List

#### Threat Ranks

- .1- Seriously threatened in California (over 80% of occurrences threatened / high degree and immediacy of threat)
- .2- Moderately threatened in California (20-80% occurrences threatened / moderate degree and immediacy of threat)
- .3- Not very threatened in California (<20% of occurrences threatened / low degree and immediacy of threat or no current threats known).

#### **Local Policies**

##### ***Western Riverside County MSHCP***

The MSHCP is a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional HCP focusing on conservation of species and their associated habitats in western Riverside County. The goal of the MSHCP is to maintain biological and ecological diversity within a rapidly urbanizing region.

The approval of the MSHCP and execution of the Implementing Agreement (IA) by the wildlife agencies allows signatories of the IA to issue “take” authorizations for all species covered by the MSHCP, including state- and federal-listed species as well as other identified sensitive species and/or their habitats. Each city or local jurisdiction will impose a Development Mitigation Fee for projects within their jurisdiction. With payment of the mitigation fee to the County and compliance with the survey requirements of the MSHCP where required, full mitigation in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), CESA, and FESA will be granted. The Development Mitigation Fee varies according to project size and project description. The fee for industrial development is \$7,382 per acre (County Ordinance 810.2). Payment of the mitigation fee and compliance with the requirements of Section 6.0 of the MSHCP are intended to provide full mitigation under CEQA, NEPA, CESA, and FESA for impacts to the species and habitats covered by the MSHCP pursuant to agreements with the USFWS, the CDFW, and/or any other appropriate participating regulatory agencies and as set forth in the IA for the MSHCP.

There are three key agencies that regulate activities within inland streams, wetlands, and riparian areas in California. The Corps Regulatory Branch regulates activities pursuant to Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Of the State agencies, the CDFG regulates activities under the Fish and Game Code Section 1600-1616, and the Regional Board regulates activities pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA and the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

## **Federal Regulations**

### ***Section 404 of the Clean Water Act***

Since 1972, the Corps and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have jointly regulated the filling of “waters of the U.S.,” including wetlands, pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The Corps has regulatory authority over the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States under Section 404 of the CWA. The Corps and EPA define “fill material” to include any “material placed in waters of the United States where the material has the effect of: (i) replacing any portion of a water of the United States with dry land; or (ii) changing the bottom elevation of any portion of the waters of the United States.” Examples include, but are not limited to, sand, rock, clay, construction debris, wood chips, and “materials used to create any structure or infrastructure in the waters of the United States.” In order to further define the scope of waters protected under the CWA, the Corps and EPA published the Clean Water Rule on June 29, 2015. Pursuant to the Clean Water Rule, the term “waters of the United States” is defined as follows:

- (i) All waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- (ii) All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>.
- (iii) The territorial seas.
- (iv) All impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under the definition.
- (v) All tributaries<sup>2</sup> of waters identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above.
- (vi) All waters adjacent<sup>3</sup> to a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (v) mentioned above, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.

<sup>1</sup> The term *wetlands* means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

<sup>2</sup> The terms *tributary* and *tributaries* each mean a water that contributes flow, either directly or through another water (including an impoundment identified in paragraph (iv) mentioned above), to a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above, that is characterized by the presence of the physical indicators of a bed and banks and an ordinary high water mark.

<sup>3</sup> The term *adjacent* means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (v) mentioned above, including waters separated by constructed dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like.

- (vii) All prairie potholes, Carolina bays and Delmarva bays, Pocosins, western vernal pools, Texas coastal prairie wetlands, where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above.
- (viii) All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or ordinary high water mark of a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (v) mentioned above, where they are determined on a case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a waters identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above.

The following features are not defined as “waters of the United States” even when they meet the terms of paragraphs (iv) through (viii) mentioned above:

- (i) Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act.
- (ii) Prior converted cropland.
- (iii) The following ditches:
  - (A) Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.
  - (B) Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain wetlands.
  - (C) Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water of the United States as identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) of the previous section.
- (iv) The following features:
  - (A) Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease;
  - (B) Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds, irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds;
  - (C) Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land;
  - (D) Small ornamental waters created in dry land;
  - (E) Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water;
  - (F) Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the definition of a tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways; and
  - (G) Puddles.
- (v) Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.
- (vi) Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.

### ***Section 401 of the Clean Water Act***

Pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA, any applicant for a federal license or permit to conduct any activity which may result in any discharge to waters of the United States must provide certification from the State or Indian tribe in which the discharge originates. This certification provides for the protection of the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of waters, addresses impacts to water quality that may result from issuance of federal permits, and helps insure that federal actions will not violate water quality standards of the State or Indian tribe. In California, there are nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Board) that issue or deny certification for discharges to waters of the United States and waters of the State, including wetlands, within their geographical jurisdiction. The State Water Resources Control Board assumed this responsibility when a project has the potential to result in the discharge to waters within multiple Regional Boards.

### **State Regulations**

#### ***Fish and Game Code***

Fish and Game Code Sections 1600 et. seq. establishes a fee-based process to ensure that projects conducted in and around lakes, rivers, or streams do not adversely impact fish and wildlife resources, or, when adverse impacts cannot be avoided, ensures that adequate mitigation and/or compensation is provided.

Fish and Game Code Section 1602 requires any person, state, or local governmental agency or public utility to notify the CDFW before beginning any activity that will do one or more of the following:

- (1) substantially obstruct or divert the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake;
- (2) substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of a river, stream, or lake;
- or
- (3) deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material containing crumbled, flaked, or ground pavement where it can pass into a river, stream, or lake.

Fish and Game Code Section 1602 applies to all perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral rivers, streams, and lakes in the State. CDFW’s regulatory authority extends to include riparian habitat (including wetlands) supported by a river, stream, or lake regardless of the presence or absence of hydric soils and saturated soil conditions. Generally, the CDFW takes jurisdiction to the top of bank of the stream or to the outer limit of the adjacent riparian vegetation (outer drip line), whichever is greater. Notification is generally required for any project that will take place in or in the vicinity of a river, stream, lake, or their tributaries. This includes rivers or streams that flow at least periodically or permanently through a bed or channel with banks that support fish or other aquatic life and watercourses having a surface or subsurface flow that support or have supported riparian vegetation. A Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement would be required if impacts to identified CDFW jurisdictional areas occur.

#### ***Porter Cologne Act***

The California *Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act* gives the State very broad authority to regulate waters of the State, which are defined as any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters. The Porter-Cologne Act has become an important tool in the post SWANCC and Rapanos regulatory environment, with respect to the state’s authority over isolated and insignificant waters. Generally, any

person proposing to discharge waste into a water body that could affect its water quality must file a Report of Waste Discharge in the event that there is no Section 404/401 nexus. Although “waste” is partially defined as any waste substance associated with human habitation, the Regional Board also interprets this to include fill discharged into water bodies.